arXiv:1702.02908v1 [math.NT] 9 Feb 2017

SUBCONVEX EQUIDISTRIBUTION OF CUSP FORMS: REDUCTION TO EISENSTEIN OBSERVABLES

PAUL D. NELSON

Abstract. Let π traverse a sequence of cuspidal automorphic representations of GL_2 with large prime level and bounded infinity type. For $G \in \{\mathrm{GL}_1, \mathrm{PGL}_2\}$, let $H(G)$ denote the assertion that subconvexity holds for G-twists of the adjoint L-function of π, with polynomial dependence upon the conductor of the twist. We show that $H(\mathrm{GL}_1)$ implies $H(\mathrm{PGL}_2)$.

In geometric terms, $H(\mathrm{PGL}_2)$ corresponds roughly to an instance of arithmetic quantum unique ergodicity with a power savings in the error term, $H(\mathrm{GL}_1)$ to the special case in which the relevant sequence of measures is tested against an Eisenstein series.

1. Introduction

Recall that a family of L-functions $L(\pi, s)$ attached to a family $\mathcal{F}$ of parameters $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$ with analytic conductors $C(\pi) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 1}$ is said to satisfy a *subconvex bound* if there are fixed quantities $\delta = \delta(\mathcal{F}) > 0$ and $c = c(\mathcal{F}) \geqslant 0$ so that $|L(\pi, \frac{1}{2})| \leqslant cC(\pi)^{1/4-\delta}$ for all $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$; the *subconvexity problem* for a given family consists of establishing a subconvex bound (see e.g. [16], [21], [22]). Under (important) local assumptions, the main result of this article reduces the subconvexity problem for

$$L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi) \otimes \tau, \tfrac{1}{2}) \quad (\tau \text{ on } \mathrm{PGL}_2 \text{ fixed}, \pi \text{ on } \mathrm{GL}_2 \text{ varying})$$

to the corresponding problem for

$$L(\pi \otimes \overline{\pi} \otimes \chi, \tfrac{1}{2}) \quad (\chi \text{ on } \mathrm{GL}_1 \text{ fixed}, \pi \text{ on } \mathrm{GL}_2 \text{ varying}).$$

In view of the factorizations

$$L(\pi \otimes \overline{\pi} \otimes \tau, \tfrac{1}{2}) = L(\tau, \tfrac{1}{2}) L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi) \otimes \tau, \tfrac{1}{2}) \tag{1.1}$$

$$L(\pi \otimes \overline{\pi} \otimes \chi, \tfrac{1}{2}) = L(\chi, \tfrac{1}{2}) L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi) \otimes \chi, \tfrac{1}{2}), \tag{1.2}$$

this result mildly strengthens that indicated in the abstract.

These cases of the subconvexity problem have received much attention owing to their formulation via the triple product formula (see [9, 36, 14]) in terms of periods of automorphic forms and the quantum unique ergodicity problem (see [12, 27, 31] and references). That formulation provided the impetus for this work and also the point of departure for the proof.

For a more precise statement of the following, see §4.1.4.

Theorem 1. *Fix a number field F. Let $(\mathfrak{p}_0, \pi)$ traverse a sequence of pairs consisting of*

- *a prime $\mathfrak{p}_0$ of F with $\mathrm{norm}(\mathfrak{p}_0) \to \infty$, and*

Date: February 10, 2017.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F70; Secondary 11F27, 58J51.

- *a cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ whose local component $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ has unramified central character and conductor $\mathfrak{p}_0$; equivalently, that component is an unramified twist of the special representation.*

Assume also that π is "essentially unramified away from $\mathfrak{p}_0$" in the sense that $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\neq\mathfrak{p}_0} C(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathrm{norm}(\mathfrak{p}_0)^{o(1)}$, where $o(1)$ denotes a quantity tending to zero as $\mathrm{norm}(\mathfrak{p}_0)\to\infty$. Assume the following subconvexity hypothesis:

(H) *For some fixed $c, A \geqslant 0, \delta > 0$ and all unitary characters χ of $\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times$,*

$$|L(\pi\otimes\overline{\pi}\otimes\chi,\tfrac{1}{2})| \leqslant cC(\pi\otimes\overline{\pi}\otimes\chi)^{1/4-\delta}C(\chi)^A.$$

Let τ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A})$. Assume that:

(PS) *Each local component of τ belongs to the principal series.*

Then:

(SC) *For some fixed $c, A \geqslant 0$ and $\delta > 0$,*

$$|L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi)\otimes\tau,\tfrac{1}{2})| \leqslant cC(\mathrm{ad}(\pi)\otimes\tau)^{1/4-\delta}C(\tau)^A.$$

In (H) and (SC), the meaning of "fixed" is "independent of the pair $(\mathfrak{p}_0,\pi)$".

In words, a subconvex bound for $L(\pi\otimes\overline{\pi}\otimes\chi,\frac{1}{2})$ in the π-aspect with polynomial dependence upon χ implies a subconvex bound for $L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi)\otimes\tau,\frac{1}{2})$ in the π-aspect with polynomial dependence upon τ.

Remark 1. Theorem 1 is already new in the special case that

- $F = \mathbb{Q}$,
- π corresponds to a weight two cuspidal L^2-normalized newform φ on $\Gamma_0(p)$ for some large prime p, and
- τ corresponds to an essentially fixed Maass cusp form Ψ on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

(We (informally) call a quantity "essentially fixed" if estimates involving it are required to depend polynomially upon its conductor.) Under the period-to-L-value dictionary (see for instance [27, §1] and references), Theorem 1 says (informally) that subconvex bounds for $\langle\varphi(z), E(z)\varphi(\ell^2 z)\rangle$, where

- $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$ is essentially fixed, and
- E is an essentially fixed unitary Eisenstein series on $\Gamma_0(\ell^2)$ of parameter $1/2+it$, completed with the factor $\xi(1+2it)$,

imply subconvex bounds (with weaker exponents) for $\langle\varphi,\Psi\varphi\rangle$, improving upon the unconditional logarithmic savings obtained in [24] using the Holowinsky–Soundararajan method [12].

Our result may thus be interpreted roughly as follows: to establish equidistribution with a power savings as $p\to\infty$ of the sequence of probability measures μ_φ on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}$ obtained by pushforward of the L^2-mass of φ (cf. [24]), it suffices to prove a power savings estimate for the quantities $\mu_\varphi(E)$ when E is a fixed Eisenstein series, together with mild generalizations of such quantities.

Our result is not the first concerning equidistribution on arithmetic quotients to exhibit a distinguished role played by Eisenstein series. Earlier works exhibiting this role include

(1) Lindenstrauss's [18] on arithmetic quantum unique ergodicity (AQUE) for Maass forms on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}$, through the (implicit) role played by Eisenstein series in conditionally ruling out "escape of mass" prior to the unconditional results of Soundararajan [33];

(2) Holowinsky–Soundararajan's [12] on AQUE for holomorphic forms on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}$ in that the additional smoothing implemented by [11, Thm 1.1] is necessary only when testing against Eisenstein series;
(3) Einsiedler, Lindenstrauss, Michel and Venkatesh's [4] on Duke's theorem for cubic fields, through the use of Eisenstein series to establish *a priori* bounds concerning tightness and positivity of entropy.
(4) Ghosh, Reznikov and Sarnak's [7] concerning nodal domains of Maass forms, through its invocation of AQUE for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}$ tested (exclusively) against Eisenstein series.

All of these works point to a distinguished role played by Eisenstein series in arithmetic equidistribution problems. The precise implication observed in this article seems particularly direct, striking, and counterintuitive. For instance, it shows also that the Eisenstein case of (prime level aspect) AQUE on congruence covers of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}$ controls the general case on compact quotients $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}$ attached to non-split quaternion algebras.

Remark 2. Theorem 1 clarifies the relationship between the subconvexity problems involved in its hypothesis (H) and those in its conclusion (SC). It is of direct use only if the former turn out to be more tractable than the latter.

The degree of an L-function offers one yardstick for quantifying its complexity. The L-functions $L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi)\otimes\tau, s)$ in the conclusion have degree 6. Those in the hypothesis have degree 4, and factor as products $L(\pi\otimes\overline{\pi}\otimes\chi, s) = L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi)\otimes\chi, s)L(\chi, s)$ with factors of degrees 3 and 1 and the second factor essentially fixed. Theorem 1 offers a "reduction of degree" in the subconvexity problem from 6 to 3. We note that

(1) an analogous reduction from 4 to 2, observed by Michel [20], played an important role in the resolution [22] of the general subconvexity problem in degree $\leqslant 2$, and that
(2) recent work of Munshi [23] suggests (at least in the context of Remark 1) that the relevant subconvex bounds for $L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi)\otimes\chi, \frac{1}{2})$, and hence Hypothesis (H), may be within reach.

Remark 3. The local condition (PS) on τ is imposed for technical convenience; it could be removed with further work (see the conjecture of §2.15.1) orthogonal to the primary novelty of this paper. We discuss the restrictions on π in Remark 7.

Remark 4. The conclusion (SC) for sequences of *dihedral* π reduces to subconvex bounds for Rankin–Selberg L-functions (see Sarnak [30], Michel–Venkatesh [22] and references). One does not yet know such a conclusion unconditionally for non-dihedral π in any aspect.

Remark 5. In the context of Remark 1, it remains an open problem to obtain analogous savings on *compact* arithmetic quotients attached to non-split quaternion algebras (see e.g. [25, §2]); those would likely follow from the proof of Theorem 1 and strong enough *logarithmic* savings over the trivial bound in the Eisenstein case on congruence covers of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}$ (e.g., the Eisenstein case of [27, Thm 2] for q prime but with δ_2 large enough).

Remark 6. The dependence of the quantities δ, A in (SC) upon those in (H) is effective. We do not explicate it here.

Over the past couple decades, many people have attempted to estimate $L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi)\otimes\tau,\frac{1}{2})$ or the closely related quantity $L(\pi\otimes\overline{\pi}\otimes\tau,\frac{1}{2})$ (see (1.1)) by embedding π in a family $\mathcal{F}$ and trying to estimate some (possibly amplification-weighted) moment, such as the mean value

$$S(\mathcal{F}) := \sum_{\pi'\in\mathcal{F}} L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi')\otimes\tau,\tfrac{1}{2}). \tag{1.3}$$

It is known that each summand $L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi')\otimes\tau,\frac{1}{2})$ is nonnegative, hence that $L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi)\otimes\tau,\frac{1}{2}) \leqslant S(\mathcal{F})$. In the context of Remark 1, one might take for $\mathcal{F}$ the set of weight two normalized newforms on $\Gamma_0(p)$; a Lindelöf-consistent estimate

$$S(\mathcal{F}) \ll p^{1+\varepsilon} \tag{1.4}$$

would then recover the convexity bound for $L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi)\otimes\tau,\frac{1}{2})$. In general, one might hope to derive a subconvex bound from a sharp mean value estimate over a sufficiently small (possibly amplification-weighted) family.

Although this approach has succeeded spectacularly for superficially similar problems (see e.g. [3, 8] and references), it remains a fantasy in the present setting: the estimates for $L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi)\otimes\tau,\frac{1}{2})$ achieved this way fail even to approach the convexity bound (compare with [19, 17], for instance). A proof of (1.4) (let alone its amplified variant) seems inaccessible by existing techniques (cf. [15]).

Alternatively, one could try to estimate $L(\pi\otimes\overline{\pi}\otimes\tau,\frac{1}{2})$ by using the triple product formula to relate it to a period integral $\langle\varphi_1,\varphi_2\varphi_3\rangle$ on $[\mathrm{PGL}_2] := \mathrm{PGL}_2(F)\backslash\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$, with unit vectors $\varphi_1,\varphi_2\in\pi$ and $\varphi_3\in\tau$, and applying the technique developed by Michel–Venkatesh [22] in their resolution of the subconvexity problem for GL_2. That technique proceeds via the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality followed by a spectral expansion on $L^2([\mathrm{PGL}_2])$ (together with an "amplification" step that we elide here):

$$|\langle\varphi_1,\varphi_2\varphi_3\rangle|^2 \leqslant \langle\varphi_2\varphi_3,\varphi_2\varphi_3\rangle = \langle|\varphi_2|^2,|\varphi_3|^2\rangle = \int_{\psi}\langle|\varphi_2|^2,\psi\rangle\langle\psi,|\varphi_3|^2\rangle. \tag{1.5}$$

One encounters in such an attempt the unfortunate *circularity* that one cannot adequately estimate the contribution from $\psi\in\tau$ to the RHS of (1.5) without already knowing a subconvex bound for the quantity $L(\pi\otimes\overline{\pi}\otimes\tau,\frac{1}{2})$ of primary interest.

The strategy pursued in this article is similar to that of Michel–Venkatesh, but with triple product integrals on $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ replaced by Shimura–type integrals on the metaplectic double cover of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$. A circularity similar to that noted above arises in this approach; we manage to break it, as discussed below, by employing a crucial observation made in [26].

Turning to details, we use a period formula of Qiu [29, Thm 4.5] and local estimates to derive an integral representation

$$\frac{L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi)\otimes\tau,\frac{1}{2})}{C(\mathrm{ad}(\pi)\otimes\tau)^{1/4+o(1)}} = |\langle\varphi_1\varphi_2,\varphi_3\rangle|^2, \tag{1.6}$$

where $\langle,\rangle$ denotes the Petersson inner product on $[\mathrm{SL}_2] := \mathrm{SL}_2(F)\backslash\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$, φ_1 belongs to π, φ_2 is an elementary theta function defined on the metaplectic double cover of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$, and φ_3 belongs to a suitable Waldspurger lift $\theta(\tau)$ of τ; moreover, $\|\varphi_i\| = 1$ for $i = 1,2,3$. We summarize how these vectors vary (see §2.17 for details):

- φ_1 is essentially a newvector in the varying representation π.

- φ_2 is essentially fixed.
- φ_3 is a varying vector in the essentially fixed representation $\theta(\tau)$, given in the "line model at $\mathfrak{p}_0$" by an L^2-normalized multiple of the characteristic function of the maximal ideal.

By Cauchy–Schwarz, the RHS of (1.6) is bounded by $\|\varphi_1\varphi_2\|^2 = \langle|\varphi_1|^2, |\varphi_2|^2\rangle$. We consider the spectral expansion of the latter inner product (regularized via [26]):

$$\langle|\varphi_1|^2, |\varphi_2|^2\rangle = \langle|\varphi_1|^2, 1\rangle\langle 1, |\varphi_2|^2\rangle + \sum_{\psi}\langle|\varphi_1|^2, \psi\rangle\langle\psi, |\varphi_2|^2\rangle + (\mathrm{CSC}), \tag{1.7}$$

where ψ traverses an orthonormal basis for the space of cusp forms on $[\mathrm{SL}_2]$ and (CSC) denotes the contribution of the continuous spectrum. We estimate the RHS of (1.7) as follows:

(i) Hypothesis (H) may be seen to imply an adequate estimate for (CSC).
(ii) $|\varphi_2|^2$ is orthogonal to cusp forms [26], so the sum over ψ vanishes.
(iii) We "amplify away" the contribution of the constant function, as in [22].

The proof is then complete.

We highlight three reasons why our approach may have been unanticipated:

(1) The observation (ii) that $\langle|\varphi_2|^2, \psi\rangle = 0$ for cusp forms ψ is the *deus ex machina* needed to break the apparent circularity of the argument: by the triple product formula, a bound for $\langle|\varphi_1|^2, \psi\rangle$ with $\psi \in \tau$ amounts to a bound for $L(\pi \otimes \overline{\pi} \otimes \tau, \frac{1}{2})$, hence (by the factorization (1.1)) to one for $L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi) \otimes \tau, \frac{1}{2})$.
(2) The analytic theory of period integrals on PGL_2 was developed substantially and applied to the subconvexity problem in work of Bernstein–Reznikov [1], Venkatesh [34], Michel–Venkatesh [22], and others. We initiate here an analogous theory on the metaplectic double cover of SL_2 (which we hope to be of general use). Before doing so, it was not obvious that an approach as indicated above should exist.
(3) The strategy indicated following (1.3) may be implemented in our setting by applying Cauchy–Schwarz following (1.6) to the vector $\varphi_1 \in \pi$ belonging to the varying representation, as in the work of Michel–Venkatesh. We instead apply Cauchy–Schwarz to φ_3; this amounts to embedding the *fixed* representation τ (rather than the varying representation π) into an (implicit) family. Our basic strategy is in this respect counter-traditional.

Remark 7. The restriction that π have "essentially prime level and trivial central character" seems serious at the moment. One could just as easily treat squarefree levels (i.e., allowing multiple independent varying primes $\mathfrak{p}_0$), but any further extension would be interesting. An analogue of Theorem 1 for archimedean, depth, or even "prime-squared level" aspects remains open.

The precise source of the present limitation of our method to the case of "essentially prime level and unramified central character" remains poorly understood by us. A systematic discussion of this issue is merited. We do not attempt such a discussion here. Briefly, the issue is that for aspects other than those pursued here, there do not seem to exist vectors for which (1.6) holds and for which (a reasonably formulated generalization of) the strategy indicated following (1.7) succeeds. We remain hopeful that a viable further extension of the strategy exists.

Acknowledgements. We thank Ph. Michel for many helpful and extended discussions concerning the work [22].

Contents

1. Introduction 1
Acknowledgements 6
2. Local preliminaries 7
2.1. Groups, measures, and general notation 7
2.2. Weil representation 8
2.3. Induced representations 9
2.4. Unramified representations and vectors 10
2.5. Whittaker models 10
2.6. Local Waldspurger packets 10
2.7. Temperedness 11
2.8. Newvectors 11
2.9. Sobolev norms 12
2.10. Change of polarization 12
2.11. Bounds for matrix coefficients 12
2.12. Local triple product periods on the general linear group 13
2.13. Local triple product periods on the metaplectic group 13
2.14. Linearizing local triple product periods on the metaplectic group 13
2.15. Lower bounds at uninteresting places 16
2.16. Upper bounds at uninteresting places 18
2.17. Estimates at the interesting place 19
2.18. Sobolev–type bounds for twisting isometries 20
3. Global preliminaries 20
3.1. Generalities 20
3.2. Hecke characters 21
3.3. Sobolev norms 21
3.4. Elementary theta functions 21
3.5. Eisenstein series 21
3.6. Regularized spectral expansions of products of elementary theta functions 23
3.7. Global Waldspurger packets 24
3.8. Generalized Shimura integrals 24
3.9. Bounds for SL_2-matrix coefficients of automorphic representations of GL_2 24
4. Main result 25
4.1. Statement 25
4.2. Preliminary reductions 26
4.3. Reduction to period bounds 26
4.4. The key estimate 27
4.5. Bounds for matrix coefficients and Sobolev norms 28
4.6. Construction of an amplifier 29
4.7. Application of the amplification method 29
References 29

2. Local preliminaries

The inputs to this section are:

- a local field F not of characteristic 2, thus F is a finite extension of either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_p$ or (for $p \neq 2$) $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$;
- a nontrivial unitary character $\psi : F \to \mathbb{C}^{(1)} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^\times : |z| = 1\}$; and
- some choices of Haar measure to be discussed in §2.1.5.

We denote by $|.| : F \to \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ the normalized absolute value, so that $d(cx) = |c|\, dx$ for $c \in F$ and any Haar measure dx on F.

2.1. Groups, measures, and general notation.

2.1.1. *The metaplectic group.* Denote by $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ the metaplectic double cover of $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$, defined using Kubota cocycles as the set of all pairs $(\sigma, \zeta) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(k) \times \{\pm 1\}$ with the multiplication law $(\sigma_1, \zeta_1)(\sigma_2, \zeta_2) = (\sigma_1\sigma_2, \zeta_1\zeta_2 c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2))$, where c is the cocycle

$$c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) := \left(\frac{x(\sigma_1\sigma_2)}{x(\sigma_1)}, \frac{x(\sigma_1\sigma_2)}{x(\sigma_2)}\right), \quad x\left(\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) := \begin{cases} d & \text{if } c = 0, \\ c & \text{if } c \neq 0 \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

and $(,) : k^\times/k^{\times 2} \times k^\times/k^{\times 2} \to \{\pm 1\}$ denotes the Hilbert symbol.

2.1.2. *Group elements.* As generators for $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ we take for $a \in F^\times, b \in F$ and $\zeta \in \{\pm 1\}$ the elements

$$n(b) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1\right), \quad t(a) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right),$$
$$w = \left(\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix}, 1\right), \quad \varepsilon(\zeta) = (1, \zeta).$$

The relations satisfied by these generators are recorded (among other places) in [26, §4.4], and are not particularly important for the purposes of this paper. For $b \in F$, set

$$n'(b) := \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ b & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1\right) \in \mathrm{Mp}_2(F).$$

(One can check that $n'(b) = wn(-b)w^{-1}$.) For $y \in F^\times$, set

$$a(y) = \begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(F).$$

There are natural maps

$$\mathrm{Mp}_2(F) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(F) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(F). \tag{2.2}$$

The images in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$ of $t(y)$ and $a(y^2)$ coincide.

2.1.3. *Genuine representations.* Recall that a *genuine* representation π of $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ is one for which $\pi(\varepsilon(\zeta))v = \zeta v$ for all $\zeta \in \{\pm 1\}$. An irreducible representation of $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ is genuine if and only if it does not factor through $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$.

2.1.4. *Identifications.* When appropriate, we allow ourselves to confuse elements of $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ with their images in $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ and functions on $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ with their pullbacks to $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$. For example, given a pair π_1, π_2 of genuine unitary representations of $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ and vectors $u_1, v_1 \in \pi_1$, $u_2, v_2 \in \pi_2$, the "product of matrix coefficients" function $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F) \ni g \mapsto \langle gu_1, v_1\rangle\langle gu_2, v_2\rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ identifies with a function on $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$.

2.1.5. *Measures.* We assume given some Haar measures on the groups $G \in \{\mathrm{GL}_1(F) = F^\times, \mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathrm{PGL}_2(F)\}$; we denote simply by $\int_{g\in G}(\cdots)$ the corresponding integral. On the additive group F, we take the Haar measure that is self-dual for ψ.

2.1.6. *Notation in the non-archimedean case.* For F non-archimedean, we denote by $\mathfrak{o}$ its maximal order, $\mathfrak{p}$ its maximal ideal, and $q := \#\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$. Set $\zeta_F(s) := (1 - q^{-s})^{-1}$.

2.1.7. *"The unramified case".* We use this phrase to signify that

(1) F is non-archimedean and of odd residual characteristic (i.e., q is odd),
(2) the additive character ψ is unramified (i.e., restricts trivially to $\mathfrak{o}$ but not to $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}$), and
(3) the chosen Haar measures on F, $\mathrm{GL}_1(F) \cong F^\times, \mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ and $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$ all assign volume one to maximal compact subgroups.

2.1.8. *Implied constants.* We want implied constants to be uniform when F traverses the set of completions of a given number field and the character ψ and the choices of Haar measure traverse the local components of associated global data (as in §3.1.2 below). For this reason, we call an object X *fixed* if it admits the following dependencies:

(1) We always allow X to upon the degree of the local field F, and also upon fixed quantities mentioned earlier within a given argument.
(2) (a) If we are not in the unramified case, then we allow X to depend upon F, ψ and all choices of Haar measures.
    (b) In the unramified case, we do not allow such dependence.

Standard asymptotic notation is defined in terms of this notion:

- The equivalent notations $X = O(Y), X \ll Y, Y \gg X$ signify that $|X| \leqslant c|Y|$ for some fixed $c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$.
- $X \asymp Y$ signifies that $X \ll Y \ll X$.

The symbol A denotes a sufficiently large fixed positive quantity whose precise value may change from one occurrence to another. Implied constants are always allowed to depend upon A.

2.1.9. *Norms.* For G one of the groups SL_2, $\mathrm{PGL}_2, \mathrm{GL}_2$, we fix once and for all a linear embedding $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_N$ and define norms $\|.\|$ on $G(F)$ using this embedding, as in [22, §2.1.3, §2.1.7]. We extend this definition to $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ by pulling back under the projection $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(F)$.

We also define for $g \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$ the adjoint norm $\|\mathrm{Ad}(g)\|$ as in [22, §2.1.3] and extend this definition to the groups $\mathrm{GL}_2(F), \mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ by pulling back under the maps (2.2). For $y \in F^\times$, one has $\|\mathrm{Ad}(t(y))\| \asymp |y|^2 + |y|^{-2}$.

2.2. Weil representation. Denote by ρ_ψ the Weil representation of $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ attached to the additive character ψ. We realize it on the Schwartz–Bruhat space $\mathcal{S}(F)$. It splits as a sum $\rho_\psi = \rho_\psi^+ \oplus \rho_\psi^-$ of two invariant irreducible subspaces $\rho_\psi^+ = \{\phi \in \mathcal{S}(F) : \phi(-x) = \phi(x)\}$ and $\rho_\psi^- = \{\phi \in \mathcal{S}(F) : \phi(-x) = -\phi(x)\}$.

We record the action of generators. For a nontrivial unitary character ψ' of F, let $\gamma(\psi')$ denote the Weil constant. For $y \in F^\times$, let $\psi_y : F \to \mathbb{C}^{(1)}$ be given by

$\psi_y(x) := \psi(yx)$ and set $\chi_\psi(y) := \gamma(\psi)/\gamma(\psi_y)$. For $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(F)$, define $\phi^\wedge \in \mathcal{S}(F)$ by $\phi^\wedge(y) := |2|_F^{1/2} \int_F \phi(x)\psi(2xy)\,dx$; the normalization is so that $(\phi^\wedge)^\wedge(x) = \phi(-x)$.

- $\rho_\psi(n(b))\phi(x) = \psi(bx^2)\phi(x)$.
- $\rho_\psi(t(a))\phi(x) = \chi_\psi(a)|a|^{1/2}\phi(ax)$.
- $\rho_\psi(w)\phi = \gamma(\psi)\phi^\wedge$.
- $\rho_\psi(\varepsilon(\zeta))\phi = \zeta\phi$.

2.3. Induced representations. Let χ be a character of $F^\times$.

2.3.1. SL_2. Denote by $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}(\chi)$ the representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ unitarily induced by the character $t(y) \mapsto \chi(y)$ of the diagonal torus. Its *induced model* is the space of functions $f : \mathrm{SL}_2(F) \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $f(n(x)t(y)g) = |y|\chi(y)f(g)$.

2.3.2. Mp_2. Denote by $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Mp}_2}(\chi)$ the representation of $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ unitarily induced by the character $t(y)\varepsilon(\zeta) \mapsto \zeta\chi_\psi(y)\chi(y)$ of the diagonal torus, where χ_ψ is as in §2.2. Its *induced model* is defined as in the SL_2 case to be the space of functions $f : \mathrm{Mp}_2(F) \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $f(n(x)\varepsilon(\zeta)t(y)g) = |y|\zeta\chi_\psi(y)\chi(y)f(g)$.

2.3.3. PGL_2. Denote by $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}(\chi)$ the representation of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$ unitarily induced by the character $a(y) \mapsto \chi(y)$ of its diagonal torus. Its *induced model* is the space of functions $f : \mathrm{PGL}_2(F) \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $f(n(x)a(y)g) = |y|^{1/2}\chi(y)f(g)$.

2.3.4. *The line model.* For any of the above induced representations $\mathcal{I}_G(\chi)$, the restriction map sending f to the function $F \ni x \mapsto f(n'(x))$ is injective. We call its image the *line model*. The line model contains $C_c^\infty(F)$. We shall occasionally use the line model to specify vectors.

2.3.5. *Unitarity.* Let $G \in \{\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathrm{Mp}_2(F), \mathrm{PGL}_2(F)\}$. If χ is unitary, then the induced representation $\mathcal{I}_G(\chi)$ is unitary; it is also irreducible unless $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ and χ is a nontrivial quadratic character. In any event, we normalize its unitary structure via the line model: $\|f\|^2 := \int_{x\in F} |f(n'(x))|^2$.

2.3.6. *Complementary series.* We will need to consider some complementary series representations of $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$. Temporarily abbreviate $\sigma_s := \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)}(|.|^s)$ for $s \in \mathbb{C}$. As mentioned above, the representations σ_{it} $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ are unitary. For a real number c in the open interval $(-1, 1)$, the representation σ_c is also abstractly unitarizable, but the unitary structure is given by a different formula than that for σ_{it}.

We may and shall characterize an invariant norm $\|.\|$ on σ_c as follows. Denote by $\tilde{K}$ the preimage in $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ of the standard maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$. Let $f \in \sigma_c$. For each complex number s in the strip $\mathfrak{S} := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : -1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1\}$, let f_s denote the element of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)}(|.|^s)$ for which f_s, f have the same restriction to $\tilde{K}$. In particular, $f_c = f$. Then the function $s \mapsto \|f_s\|^2$, defined initially on the cross $(-1, 1) \cup i\mathbb{R}$, extends to a holomorphic function on the strip $\mathfrak{S}$.

2.3.7. *From* SL_2 *to* PGL_2 . Let χ, ω be characters of $F^\times$ satisfying $\omega^2 = \chi$. Let $j : \mathrm{SL}_2(F) \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$ denote the natural map. Every element of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$ is of the form $a(y)j(s)$ for some $y \in F^\times, s \in \mathrm{SL}_2(F)$. Given $f \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}(\chi)$, write

$$f^\omega(a(y)j(s)) := |y|^{1/2}\omega(y)f(s) \tag{2.3}$$

Lemma. The formula (2.3) *defines a function* $f^\omega : \mathrm{PGL}_2(F) \to \mathbb{C}$ *for which* $f^\omega \circ j = f$.

Proof. If $a(y_1)j(s_1) = a(y_2)j(s_2)$, then (by considering determinants) there is $z \in F^\times$ so that $y_2 = z^2 y_1$ (hence $|y_2|^{1/2}\omega(y_2) = |z|\chi(z)|y_1|^{1/2}\omega(y_1)$) and $s_1 = t(z)s_2$ (hence $f(s_1) = |z|\chi(z)f(s_2)$). Thus f^ω is well-defined. The assertion $f^\omega \circ j = f$ is immediate. □

Corollary. Restriction of functions induces an $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$*-equivariant isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}(\omega)|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)} \cong \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}(\chi) \tag{2.4}$$

with inverse $f \mapsto f^\omega$.

Note the following:

(1) The isomorphism (2.4) identifies the respective line models.
(2) The map $f \mapsto f^\omega$ is an isometry whenever χ, ω are unitary.

2.4. Unramified representations and vectors. Assume for §2.4 that F is non-archimedean. As usual, we say that a vector in a representation of one of the groups $\mathrm{GL}_1(F) = F^\times, \mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$ is *unramified* if it is invariant by the standard maximal compact subgroup. In the unramified case (§2.1.7), a vector in a representation of $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ is *unramified* if it is invariant by the image of the standard lift to $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ of the standard maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ (see e.g. [26, §4.5]). A representation itself of any of the above groups is *unramified* if it is irreducible and contains a nonzero unramified vector. For example:

(1) The unramified characters of $F^\times$ are of the form $|.|^s$ for $s \in \mathbb{C}$.
(2) ρ_ψ^+ is unramified in the unramified case.
(3) For an unramified character χ of $F^\times$ and $G \in \{\mathrm{SL}_2, \mathrm{PGL}_2, \mathrm{Mp}_2\}$, the induced representation $\mathcal{I}_G(\chi)$ is unramified if it is irreducible and if, when $G = \mathrm{Mp}_2$, we are in the unramified case.

2.5. Whittaker models. Recall that an irreducible representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ is called *generic* if for some (equivalently, any) nontrivial unitary character ψ' of F, π admits a ψ'-Whittaker model, i.e., a realization in the space of functions $W : G \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $W(n(x)g) = \psi'(x)W(g)$ on which G acts by right translation.

Kirillov model. The restriction map sending W to the function $F^\times \ni y \mapsto W(a(y))$ is injective. Its image is called the *Kirillov model* (or more verbosely, ψ'-Kirillov model). The Kirillov model is known to contain $C_c^\infty(F^\times)$.

Convention on unitary structure. Assume that π is unitary. Then the the norm $\|W\|^2 := \int_{y \in F^\times} |W(a(y))|^2$ is known to be G-invariant. When we write "let π be a generic unitary representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, realized in its ψ'-Whittaker model," we always normalize the unitary structure in this way.

2.6. Local Waldspurger packets. Given an irreducible representation τ of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$, one may define (see [35, 5]) a Waldspurger packet $\mathrm{Wd}_\psi(\tau)$ consisting of either one or two genuine irreducible representations of $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$; it is denoted $\{\sigma^+\}$ in the former case and $\{\sigma^+, \sigma^-\}$ in the latter, where the labeling by $\pm$ is defined using the local ψ-theta correspondence and Jacquet–Langlands correspondence. One has $\#\,\mathrm{Wd}_\psi(\tau) = 2$ if and only if τ belongs to the discrete series.

If τ is a generic irreducible *principal series* representation of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$, then $\mathrm{Wd}_\psi(\tau)$ is a singleton $\{\sigma\}$ consisting of a generic irreducible principal series representation σ of $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$. Explicitly, if $\tau \cong \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}(\chi)$ for some character χ of $F^\times$, then $\sigma \cong \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Mp}_2}(\chi)$.

Every generic unramified irreducible representation τ of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$ is of the form $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}(\chi)$ for some unramified character χ. As discussed above, its Waldspurger packet is the singleton $\{\sigma^+ = \sigma\}$ with $\sigma \cong \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Mp}_2}(\chi)$. In the unramified case, σ is unramified (cf. §2.4).

2.7. Temperedness. Let ϑ be an element of $(0, 1/4)$. Let G be a group and π an irreducible unitary representation of G. We say that π is *ϑ-tempered* if it is not a complementary series representation of parameter greater than ϑ; more precisely:

- If G is either $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$, we say that π is *ϑ-tempered* if it is either tempered in the standard sense (matrix coefficients lie in $L^{2+\varepsilon}$) or of the form $\mathcal{I}_G(\chi)$ with $\chi = |.|^c$ for some $c \in [-\vartheta, \vartheta]$.
- If $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, we say that π is *ϑ-tempered* if it is either tempered or some unitary twist of π factors through a ϑ-tempered representation of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$.

In particular, for $G = \mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ or $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$, a generic principal series representation $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)}(\chi)$ is unitary if and only if either

(1) χ is unitary, or
(2) $\chi = |.|^c$ for some nonzero element c of the interval $(-1/2, 1/2)$.

In the first case, it is tempered; in the second case, it is ϑ-tempered precisely when $|c| \leqslant \vartheta$. It follows from this and the discussion of §2.6 that if τ is a ϑ-tempered irreducible unitary representation of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$, then any σ in the Waldspurger packet $\mathrm{Wd}_\psi(\tau)$ is also ϑ-tempered.

It is known that if π is a representation of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$ or $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ obtained as the local component of a cuspidal automorphic representation, then π is $7/64$-tempered. We henceforth set $\vartheta := 7/64$. To simplify the statements of some estimates, we fix a quantity ϑ' satisfying $\vartheta < \vartheta' < \vartheta + 10^{-10}$.

Remark. We make use of the fact that $\vartheta < 1/6$ to address some technical convergence issues in our local arguments. Assuming that those issues can be addressed more generally, it seems that any value $\vartheta < 1/4$ would suffice for the purposes of proving Theorem 1.

2.8. Newvectors. Assume in §2.8 that F is non-archimedean.

2.8.1. *Notation.* For a generic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_N(F)$, the conductor $C(\pi)$ may be written $q^{c(\pi)}$ for some $c(\pi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, denote by $K_0[n]$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o})$ consisting of elements with lower-left entry in $\mathfrak{p}^n$.

2.8.2. *Summary of newvector theory.* Let π be a generic irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ with central character $\omega_\pi : F^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. It is known that $c(\pi) \geqslant c(\omega_\pi)$. For $n \geqslant c(\omega_\pi)$ denote also by ω_π the character of $K_0[n]$ given by

$$\omega_\pi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) := \begin{cases} \omega_\pi(d) & \text{if } d \in \mathfrak{o}^\times, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and by $\pi[n]$ the space of vectors $\varphi \in \pi$ satisfying $\pi(g)\varphi = \omega_\pi(g)\varphi$ for all $g \in K_0[n]$. It is known that $\dim \pi[n] = \min(0, 1 + n - c(\pi))$. A *newvector* is a nonzero element of the one-dimensional space $\pi[c(\pi)]$.

2.8.3. *The case of principal series.* Let χ be a character of $F^\times$ with $\chi^2 \neq |.|^{\pm 1}$. Then $\pi := \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}(\chi)$ is irreducible and generic with $c(\pi) = 2c(\chi)$.

2.8.4. *The case of special representations.* Let Sp denote the special representation of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$; it is the irreducible quotient of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}(|.|^{1/2})$. Let $\eta : F^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be an unramified character. The representation $\pi := \mathrm{Sp} \otimes \eta$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ is generic, irreducible, and satisfies $c(\pi) = 1$ and $c(\omega_\pi) = 0$. Conversely, any representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ with these properties is of this form. Moreover, π is unitary if and only if η is unitary.

Assume that ψ is unramified. A newvector may then be given in the Kirillov model of π by the formula $W(a(y)) := 1_{\mathfrak{o}}(y)|y|\eta(y)$ (see for instance [32]).

2.9. Sobolev norms. Given an irreducible unitary representation π of one of the groups $\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathrm{Mp}_2(F), \mathrm{PGL}_2(F), \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, we define a family of Sobolev norms $\mathcal{S}_d$ ($d \in \mathbb{R}$) on π following the recipe of [22, §2] and [26, §4.6, §5.3]. They have the form $\mathcal{S}_d(v) := \|\Delta^d v\|$, where Δ is a certain invertible positive self-adjoint linear operator. We write $\mathcal{S}$ to denote a Sobolev norm of the form $\mathcal{S}_d$ for any fixed large enough d (the "implied index").

Remark. To follow the main arguments of the paper, it suffices to keep in mind the following property of the Sobolev norms: if F is non-archimedean and φ is a vector invariant by the rth standard principal congruence subgroup, then $\mathcal{S}(\varphi) = \|\varphi\| q^{O(r)}$.

2.10. Change of polarization. Let χ be a character of $F^\times$ with $\mathrm{Re}(\chi) > -1$. In [26, §4.12], we defined an $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$-equivariant map $I_\chi : \rho_\psi \otimes \overline{\rho_\psi} \to \mathcal{I}(\chi)$. The definition is not complicated (it is a partial Fourier transform composed with a Tate integral), but is not important for our purposes; we recall only that

- $L(\chi, 1)^{-1} I_\chi$ preserves unramified elements in the unramified case (see [26, §4.12]) and
- if χ is unitary, then for each fixed d one has $\mathcal{S}_d(I_\chi(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)) \ll \mathcal{S}(\phi_1)\mathcal{S}(\phi_2)$ (see [26, §4.12]).

2.11. Bounds for matrix coefficients.

Lemma 1. *Let π be a ϑ-tempered generic irreducible unitary representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$. Let $\varphi, \varphi' \in \pi$ and $s \in \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$. Then*

$$\langle \pi(s)\varphi, \varphi' \rangle \ll \| \mathrm{Ad}(s) \|^{\vartheta' - 1/2} \mathcal{S}(\varphi)\mathcal{S}(\varphi').$$

In the unramified case and for q large enough, one can replace $\ll$ by $\leqslant$.

Proof. This bound is stated in [22, §2.5.1] in terms of the function $\Xi : \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, which satisfies $\Xi(s) \ll \log(t)/t^{1/2}$ with $t := 3 + \| \mathrm{Ad}(s) \|$. We have used that ϑ' is fixed and strictly larger than $7/64$ to absorb the logarithmic factor. □

The following estimate can be proved by adapting the proof of Lemma 1 or by arguing directly using the Cartan decomposition on $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ and some elaboration on the arguments of [26, §4.9].

Lemma 2. *Let $\phi, \phi' \in \rho_\psi$ and $s \in \mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$. Then*

$$\langle \rho_\psi(s)\phi, \phi' \rangle \ll \| \mathrm{Ad}(s) \|^{-1/4} \mathcal{S}(\phi)\mathcal{S}(\phi')$$

In the unramified case, one can replace $\ll$ by $\leqslant$.

2.12. Local triple product periods on the general linear group. Let π_1, π_2, π_3 be ϑ-tempered generic irreducible unitary representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ with trivial product of central characters. For $\varphi_i \in \pi_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$, set

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) := \int_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)} \prod_{i=1,2,3} \langle \pi_i(g)\varphi_i, \varphi_i \rangle.$$

The integral converges absolutely (see [14, Lem 2.1]). If we are in the unramified case and the φ_i are unramified unit vectors, then (see [14, Lem 2.2])

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{PGL}(F)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) = \frac{\zeta_F(2)^2 L(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \otimes \pi_3, , 1/2)}{\prod_{i=1,2,3} L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi_i), 1)}.$$

2.13. Local triple product periods on the metaplectic group. In this section (and others to follow), we consider the following trios of representations:

(1) π: a ϑ-tempered generic irreducible unitary representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$.
(2) ρ_ψ: the Weil representation of $\mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ on $\mathcal{S}(F)$.
(3) σ: an element of the Waldspurger packet $\mathrm{Wd}_\psi(\tau)$ of some ϑ-tempered generic irreducible unitary representation τ of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$.

For $\varphi_1 \in \pi$, $\varphi_2 \in \rho_\psi$ and $\varphi_3 \in \sigma$, set

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) := \int_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)} \langle \pi(g)\varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle \langle \rho_\psi(g)\varphi_2, \varphi_2 \rangle \overline{\langle \sigma(g)\varphi_3, \varphi_3 \rangle}$$

The integral converges absolutely (see [29, Lem 4.3]) because $\vartheta < 1/6$. If we are in the unramified case and the φ_i are unramified unit vectors, then (see [29, Lem 4.4])

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) = \frac{\zeta_F(2) L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi) \otimes \tau, 1/2)}{L(\mathrm{ad}(\tau), 1) L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi), 1)}.$$

In general, we may write $\sigma = \sigma^\varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon \in \{\pm\}$ indexes the Waldspurger packet $\mathrm{Wd}_\psi(\tau)$ as in §2.6. Then (see [29, Prop 8])

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)} \text{ does not vanish on } \pi \otimes \rho_\psi \otimes \sigma \iff \varepsilon = \varepsilon(\tau \otimes \pi \otimes \overline{\pi}, 1/2). \tag{2.5}$$

2.14. Linearizing local triple product periods on the metaplectic group.

2.14.1. *Setting and aim.* Let π, τ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Wd}_\psi(\tau)$ be as in §2.13, but suppose now that τ and hence σ belongs to the principal series. Then $\mathrm{Wd}_\psi(\tau) = \{\sigma = \sigma^+\}$ is a singleton and $\varepsilon(\tau \otimes \pi \otimes \overline{\pi}) = +1$, so by (2.5), the local Shimura period $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}$ does not vanish identically on $\pi \otimes \rho_\psi \otimes \sigma$. The purpose of this section is to express the nonzero invariant hermitian form $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}$ as the squared magnitude of an explicit invariant trilinear form. In practice, it is simpler to analyze asymptotically the linear form than the hermitian form.

2.14.2. *Local metaplectic Rankin–Selberg integral.* We realize π in its $\overline{\psi}$-Whittaker model, ρ_ψ on $\mathcal{S}(F)$ as usual, and σ in its induced model $\sigma = \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Mp}_2}(\chi)$ for some character χ of $F^\times$ with $|\mathrm{Re}(\chi)| \leqslant \vartheta$. Set $G := \mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ and let $N = \{n(x) : x \in F\} \leqslant G$ denote the standard upper-triangular unipotent subgroup. Equip N with the Haar transported from F and $N\backslash G$ with the quotient Haar. Define $\ell : \pi \otimes \rho_\psi \otimes \sigma \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\ell(W, \phi, f) := \int_{g \in N\backslash G} W(g) \cdot (\rho_\psi(g)\phi)(1) \cdot \overline{f(g)}$$

This is a local integral of Rankin–Selberg type that was studied by Gelbart–Jacquet, following Shimura.

Lemma. The integral defining ℓ converges absolutely.

Proof. We record the straightforward proof because it involves estimates that are also used below. Write $g = t(y)k$, so that dg is given up to a constant multiple by $|y|^{-2}\, d^\times y\, dk$. Our task is to verify that $\int_{y\in F^\times} |W(a(y)| \cdot |\rho_\psi(t(y))\phi(1)| \cdot |f(t(y))| \cdot |y|^{-2}\, d^\times y < \infty$ together with similar estimates for the right translates by k. Convergence as $|y| \approx \infty$ follows from the rapid decay of W and/or that of $\rho_\psi(\cdot)\phi(1)$. As $|y| \to 0$, we have $W(t(y)) \ll \log(1/|y|)|y|^{1-2\vartheta}$, $\rho_\psi(t(y))(1) \ll |y|^{1/2}$ and $f(t(y)) \ll |y|^{1-\vartheta}$, so the required conclusion holds provided that $(1-2\vartheta) + 1/2 + (1-\vartheta) > 2$, i.e., for $\vartheta < 1/6$. □

Thus ℓ defines an invariant trilinear form.

2.14.3. *Statement of result.* The (first part of) the following lemma may be understood as a metaplectic analogue of the oft-cited [22, Lem 3.4.2].

Lemma. There exists $c > 0$ with $c \asymp 1$ so that:

- *If σ is tempered, then*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}(W, \phi, f) = c\, |\ell(W, \phi, f)|^2 . \tag{2.6}$$

- *If σ is non-tempered, so that $\chi = |.|^\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in [-\vartheta, \vartheta]$, then*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}(W, \phi, f) = c\, \ell(W, \phi, f)\overline{\ell(W, \phi, f^\iota)} \tag{2.7}$$

  where f^ι denotes the vector in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Mp}_2}(\chi^{-1})$ given by $f^\iota := f_{-\sigma}$, where f_s denotes the deformation of $f_\sigma = f$ given in §2.3.6.

Remark 1. The identity (2.7) has been recorded for completeness and to illustrate a proof technique to be applied later; it is not used directly in the present work.

Remark 2. We do not know how to adapt the method of proof of [22, Lem 3.4.2] to the metaplectic setting, so we proceed more directly. The method developed here may be applied also to give a new proof of *loc. cit.* that avoids discussion of Plancherel measure and continuity in the tempered dual.

More precisely, the quantity c depends upon the normalizations of Haar measures. Suppose for the remainder of §2.14 that

- the Haar dx on F is ψ-self-dual (as we have already assumed),
- the Haar $d^\times y$ on $F^\times$ and the Haar dx on F are related by $d^\times y = |y|^{-1} dy$, and
- the Haar on G has been normalized so that for $\eta \in C_c(N\backslash G)$,

$$\int_{N\backslash G} \eta = \int_{y\in F^\times} \int_{x\in F} \eta(t(y)n'(x))|y|^{-2}\, d^\times y\, dx.$$

Recall also that we have normalized the unitary structures on π, ρ_ψ, σ to be given respectively in the $\overline{\psi}$-Kirillov model, on $\mathcal{S}(F)$, and in the induced model as in §2.3.5, §2.3.6. Under these assumptions, we shall verify that (2.6) holds with $c = 1$.

2.14.4. *The tempered case: reduction to an identity.* We start with the proof of (2.6). Consider the function $\Psi : \mathrm{Mp}_2(F) \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\Psi(g) := \rho_\psi(g)\phi(1) \cdot \overline{f(g)}$. It descends to a function $\Psi : \mathrm{SL}_2(F) \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$\Psi(n(x)g) = \psi(x)\Psi(g). \tag{2.8}$$

Clearly $|\ell(W, \phi, f)|^2 = |\int_{g\in N\backslash G} W\Psi|^2$. Set

$$\langle g\Psi, \Psi\rangle := \int_{h\in N\backslash G} \Psi(hg)\overline{\Psi}(h). \tag{2.9}$$

A short calculation as in [22, Lem 3.2.7] (making use of the unitarity of χ and the above normalization of measures) gives $\langle g\Psi, \Psi\rangle = \langle g\phi, \phi\rangle\overline{\langle gf, f\rangle}$, and also that (2.9) converges absolutely. Thus $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}(W, \phi, f) = \int_{g\in G}\langle gW, W\rangle\langle g\Psi, \Psi\rangle$. Our goal (2.6) is thus equivalent to the identity

$$\int_{g\in G}\langle gW, W\rangle\langle g\Psi, \Psi\rangle = |\int_{g\in N\backslash G} W\Psi|^2. \tag{2.10}$$

Note that both sides of (2.10) converge absolutely.

2.14.5. *The tempered case: heuristic argument.* The LHS of (2.10) formally expands to

$$\int_{g\in G}\int_{h\in N\backslash G} \Psi(hg)\overline{\Psi}(h)\langle gW, W\rangle \tag{2.11}$$

and then folds after the substitution $g \mapsto h^{-1}g$ to

$$\int_{g\in N\backslash G}\int_{h\in N\backslash G} \Psi(g)\overline{\Psi}(h)\int_{x\in F}\psi(x)\langle h^{-1}n(x)gW, W\rangle, \tag{2.12}$$

which evaluates to the RHS of (2.10) by the identity

$$\langle h^{-1}n(x)gW, W\rangle = \langle n(x)gW, hW\rangle,$$

the normalization $d^\times y = |y|^{-1}\, dy$, and (distributional) Fourier inversion in the form

$$\int_{x\in F}\psi(x)\langle n(x)gW, hW\rangle = W(g)\overline{W(h)} \tag{2.13}$$

as stated in the proof of [22, Lem 3.4.2]. (Recall here that W belongs to the $\overline{\psi}$-Whittaker model.)

Unfortunately, the intermediary expressions (2.11), (2.12) do not converge absolutely, so the argument sketched here does not constitute a proof.

2.14.6. *The tempered case: proof of the identity.* We now give an actual proof of (2.10). For $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(F)$, the quadratic Fourier transform

$$P(x) := \int_{y\in F}\psi(-y^2x)\Phi(y)\, dy \tag{2.14}$$

defines a smooth function $P : F \to \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 1. *For W_1, W_2 in the $\overline{\psi}$-Whittaker model of π, one has the identity of absolutely-convergent integrals*

$$\int_{x\in F}\langle n(x)W_1, W_2\rangle P(x) = \int_{y\in F^\times} W_1(t(y))\overline{W_2}(t(y))\Phi(y)\,\frac{d^\times y}{|y|}.$$

Proof. This is inspired by a lemma of Qiu [28, Lem 4] concerning representations of metaplectic groups. It may be proved in the same way; the proof amounts to (2.13) and some elementary Fourier analysis. □

For $g, h \in G$ and $y \in F$, set

$$I(g,h;y) := \Psi(t(y)g)\overline{\Psi}(t(y)h)|y|^{-3}. \tag{2.15}$$

It follows directly from the definition of Ψ that $I(g,h;\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}(F)$. Set

$$I(g,h) := \int_{y\in F} I(g,h;y)\, dy. \tag{2.16}$$

Lemma 2. $\int_{g\in G}\int_{k\in K} |\langle gW, W\rangle I(kg,g)| < \infty$.

Proof. By direct estimation, as in the proof of the lemma of §2.14.2. □

Turning to (2.10), note first that its validity is independent of the choice of Haar measure on G. Let us suppose (for convenience) that it is given in Iwasawa coordinates $g = n(x)t(y)k$ by $dg = |y|^{-2}dx\, d^\times y\, dk$, where dk denotes any Haar measure on the standard maximal compact subgroup K of G. The LHS of (2.10) may then be written

$$\int_{g\in G} \langle gW, W\rangle \Big(\int_{k\in K} I(kg,k)\Big). \tag{2.17}$$

By Lemma 2, we may rearrange this to $\int_{k\in K}\int_{g\in G}\langle gW, W\rangle I(kg,k)$. The substitution $g \mapsto k^{-1}g$ yields $\int_{k\in K}\int_{g\in G}\langle gW, kW\rangle I(g,k)$. By folding up the g-integral and using the identity $I(n(x)g,k) = \int_{y\in F}\psi(-yx^2)I(g,k;y)\, dy$, we obtain

$$\int_{k\in K}\int_{g\in N\backslash G}\int_{x\in F} \langle n(x)gW, kW\rangle \Big(\int_{y\in F}\psi(-yx^2)I(g,k;y)\, dy\Big). \tag{2.18}$$

By Lemma 1, this evaluates to the (absolutely convergent) integral

$$\int_{k\in K}\int_{g\in N\backslash G}\int_{y\in F^\times} W(t(y)g)\overline{W}(t(y)k)I(g,k;y)\, \frac{d^\times y}{|y|}. \tag{2.19}$$

We swap the g and y integrals, apply the substitution $g \mapsto t(y)^{-1}g$, and expand the definition of $I(g,k;y)$ to arrive at

$$\int_{g\in N\backslash G} W(g)\Psi(g) \int_{k\in K}\int_{y\in F^\times} \overline{W(t(y)k)\Psi(t(y)k)}\, \frac{d^\times y}{|y|^2}, \tag{2.20}$$

which equals the RHS of (2.10).

2.14.7. *The non-tempered case.* We now deduce (2.7) from (2.6). By the discussion of §2.3.6, the functions $\xi_1(s) := \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}(W,\phi,f_s)$ and $\xi_2(s) := c\,\ell(W,\phi,f_s)\overline{\ell(W,\phi,f_{-\overline{s}})}$ are defined and holomorphic in the strip $\mathrm{Re}(s) \in [-\vartheta,\vartheta]$. By (2.6), they coincide on the imaginary axis, hence (by the identity principle for analytic functions) also on the real segment $[-\vartheta,\vartheta]$, giving (2.7).

2.15. Lower bounds at uninteresting places.

2.15.1. *Statement of result.* We record here some unsurprising polynomial-type lower bounds for local triple product periods on the metaplectic group. They supply the polynomial dependence of our main result on the "essentially fixed" quantities.

We use the notation $A \ll_{\pi,\tau} B$ or $B \gg_{\pi,\tau} A$ to signify that $A \ll (C(\pi)C(\tau))^{O(1)}B$, where $C(.)$ denotes the analytic conductor as in[22, §3.1.8].

Conjecture. Let π, τ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Wd}_\psi(\tau)$ be as in §2.13. Assume that (2.5) holds, so that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}$ is not identically zero on $\pi \otimes \rho_\psi \otimes \sigma$. Then there exist $\varphi_1 \in \pi, \varphi_2 \in \rho_\psi, \varphi_3 \in \sigma$ so that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2) \gg_{\pi,\tau} 1,$$
$$\mathcal{S}(\varphi_i) \ll_{\pi,\tau} 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

We are content here to address the case that σ belongs to the principal series, in which case the condition (2.5) is automatic (see §2.14).

Lemma. The conclusion of the conjecture holds under the additional assumption that σ belongs to the principal series.

The proof is similar to that of [22, Prop 3.6.1]. We carry out the details only when F is non-archimedean; the modifications required to pass to the archimedean case are exactly as in [22, §3.6.4].

Remark. The general case of the conjecture is likely accessible by brute-force analysis of matrix coefficients as in [24, 13]. It would be desirable to have a soft general approach. The question of to what extent the conjecture can be made uniform is also interesting; we do not address it here.

2.15.2. *Choice of models.*

(1) We realize π in its $\overline{\psi}$-Whittaker model.
(2) We realize ρ_ψ on $\mathcal{S}(F)$, as usual.
(3) We write $\sigma = \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Mp}_2}(\chi)$ for some character χ of $F^\times$ and realize σ in its induced model.

We accordingly write W, ϕ, f instead of $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3$.

2.15.3. *Choice of vectors.*

(1) Denote by $n_1 := c(\pi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ the log-conductor of π. Take for $W \in \pi$ an L^2-normalized newvector.
(2) Let m denote the smallest nonnegative integer for which both χ and χ_ψ restrict trivially to $U_m := \mathfrak{o}^\times \cap (1 + \mathfrak{p}^m)$. Take $\phi := q^{m/2} 1_{U_m}$.
(3) Set $n := \max(n_1, n_2)$. Take for $f \in \sigma$ the vector given in the line model by $f(n'(z)) := q^{n/2} 1_{\mathfrak{p}^n}(z)$.

2.15.4. *Basic observations.*

(1) W is invariant by $n'(\mathfrak{p}^{n_1})$ and $t(\mathfrak{o}^\times)$.
(2) ϕ is $n'(\mathfrak{p}^{n_2})$-invariant for some $n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ satisfying $n_2 \leqslant m + O(1) \ll 1 + c(\tau)$.
(3) $\|f\|^2 = q^n \mathrm{vol}(\mathfrak{p}^n) = \mathrm{vol}(\mathfrak{o}) \asymp 1$, even if σ belongs to the complementary series: If $f_s \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Mp}_2}(|.|^s)$ is given by $f_s(n'(x)) = q^n 1_{\mathfrak{p}^n}(x)$, then its restriction to the maximal compact is independent of s; the identity $\|f_s\|^2 = \mathrm{vol}(\mathfrak{o})$ follows for $s \in i\mathbb{R}$ by explicit calculation in the line model and then for all $s \in (-1, 1) \cup i\mathbb{R}$ by the identity principle and the characterization of $\|.\|$ given in §2.3.6.

2.15.5. *Sobolev-type estimates.* The vectors W, ϕ, f are all invariant by principal congruence subgroups of depth $\ll 1 + c(\pi) + c(\tau)$, so the required estimate for Sobolev norms reduces to a corresponding estimate for L^2-norms, which is clear from the construction.

2.15.6. *Proof of the lower bounds.*

Reduction to an identity. We claim that for some $C > 0$ with $C \asymp 1$ (depending only upon the normalization of Haar measures),

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) = C \left| \int_{y \in F^\times} \int_{z \in F} q^{\frac{m+n}{2}} 1_{U_m}(y) 1_{\mathfrak{p}^n}(z) \frac{d^\times y}{|y|^2} \, dz \right|^2. \tag{2.21}$$

Direct calculation with the claim (2.21) gives the required lower bound

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) \asymp q^{-m-n} \gg_{\pi,\tau} 1.$$

The tempered case. Set $g := t(y)n'(z) \in \mathrm{Mp}_2(F)$ for some $y \in F^\times$ and $z \in F$. The identity

$$W(g) \cdot \rho_\psi(g)\phi_2(1) \cdot \overline{f(g)} = W(1) q^{(m+n)/2} 1_{U_m}(y) 1_{\mathfrak{p}^n}(z)$$

follows upon noting that

- if $f(g) \neq 0$, then $z \in \mathfrak{p}^n$, hence $W(g) = W(t(y))$ and $\rho_\psi(g)\phi(1) = \rho_\psi(t(y))\phi(1) = \chi_\psi(y)|y|^{1/2}\phi(y)$ and $f(g) = \chi_\psi(y)\chi(y)|y|^{1/2} f(n'(z))$, while
- if also $\phi(y) \neq 0$, then $|y| = 1$ and $\chi_\psi(y) = \chi(y) = 1$.

When σ is tempered, (2.21) follows now from the main identity of §2.14.3.

The non-tempered case. We claim that (2.21) holds also when σ is non-tempered. To that end, we view the LHS and RHS of of (2.21) as functions $Q_1(\chi)$ and $Q_2(\chi)$ of χ, with all other parameters held fixed. Let $\xi(s)$ denote the analytic function of s given for s in the cross $[-\vartheta, \vartheta] \cup i\mathbb{R}$ by $\xi(s) := Q_1(|.|^s)$. Let κ denote the common value $Q_2(\chi)$ taken by the function Q_2 at unramified characters χ. The tempered case of (2.21) implies that $\xi(s) = \kappa$ for $\kappa \in i\mathbb{R}$; by the identity principle, it follows that $\xi(c) = \kappa$ for $c \in [-\vartheta, \vartheta]$, as required.

2.16. Upper bounds at uninteresting places.

Lemma. Let π be a ϑ-tempered generic irreducible unitary representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$. Let ω be a unitary character of $F^\times$. Let $\varphi_1 \in \pi, \varphi_1' \in \tilde{\pi}, \Phi \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)}(\omega)$. Then

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_1', \Phi) \ll C(\omega)^{-A} \mathcal{S}(\varphi_1)^2 \mathcal{S}(\varphi_1')^2 \|\Phi\|^2.$$

Proof. This is a weak form of [22, Lemma 3.5.2], taking into account that $\log C_{\mathrm{Sob}}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}(\omega)) \asymp \log C(\omega)$ (see [22, Lem 2.6.6] and the accompanying footnote). □

Corollary. Let π be a ϑ-tempered generic irreducible unitary representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$. Let ω be a unitary character of $F^\times$ for which $\omega^2 = \chi$. Let $\varphi_1 \in \pi, \varphi_1' \in \tilde{\pi}, \varphi_2 \in \rho_\psi, \varphi_2' \in \tilde{\rho_\psi}$. Set $\Phi := I_\chi(\varphi_2 \otimes \varphi_2') \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}(\chi)$ (see §2.10). Then

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_1', \Phi^\omega) \ll C(\omega)^{-A} \prod_{i=1,2} \mathcal{S}(\varphi_i)^2 \mathcal{S}(\varphi_i')^2.$$

Proof. Recall from §2.3.7 that $\|\Phi^\omega\| = \|\Phi\|$. The estimate of §2.10 implies that $\|\Phi\| \ll \mathcal{S}(\varphi_2)\mathcal{S}(\varphi_2')$, so we may conclude by the previous lemma. □

2.17. Estimates at the interesting place.

2.17.1. *Statement of result.*

Lemma. Assume we are in the unramified case (see §2.1.7). Let π, τ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Wd}_\psi(\tau)$ be as in §2.13, but assume now also that

1. *τ (and hence σ) is an unramified principal series representation, and that*
2. *π has unramified central character and $c(\pi) = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, so that π is a twist of the special representation by an unramified unitary character (§2.8.4).*

Then there exist $\varphi_1 \in \pi, \varphi_2 \in \rho_\psi, \varphi_3 \in \sigma$ so that

1. *$\|\varphi_i\| \asymp 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.*
2. *$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) \gg C(\tau \times \mathrm{ad}(\pi))^{-1/4}$.*
3. *Let χ, ω be unitary characters of $F^\times$ with $\omega^2 = \chi$. Set $\Phi := I_\chi(\varphi_2 \otimes \overline{\varphi_2}) \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}(\chi)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)}(\varphi_1, \overline{\varphi_1}, \Phi^\omega) \ll C(\omega)^{-A} C(\pi \times \overline{\pi} \times \omega)^{-1/2}.$$

2.17.2. *Conductor formulas.*

1. Since τ is unramified and π is an unramified twist of a special representation, one has $C(\mathrm{ad}(\pi) \otimes \tau) = C(\mathrm{ad}(\pi))^2 = q^4$ (see [6]).
2. If ω is unramified, then $C(\pi \times \overline{\pi} \times \omega) = C(\mathrm{ad}(\pi)) = q^2$.

2.17.3. *Choice of vectors.* As in §2.15, we realize π in its $\overline{\psi}$-Whittaker model, ρ_ψ on $\mathcal{S}(F)$, and $\sigma = \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}(\chi)$ in its induced model. We again write W, ϕ, f instead of $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3$.

1. Let $W \in \pi$ be given in the Kirillov model by $W(a(y)) := 1_{\mathfrak{o}}(y)|y|\eta(y)$; it is then a newvector with $\|W\| \asymp 1$.
2. Let $\phi \in \rho_\psi$ be given by $1_{\mathfrak{o}}$.
3. Let $f \in \sigma$ be given in the line model by $f(n'(z)) := q^{1/2} 1_{\mathfrak{p}}(z)$.

The assertions concerning L^2-norms are clear by construction.

2.17.4. *Lower bounds.* We verify the lower bound as in §2.15: For $g = t(y)n'(z)$ for which $f(g) = |y|\chi_\psi(y)\chi(y)q^{1/2}1_{\mathfrak{p}}(z)$ is nonzero, we then have $W(g) = |y|^2\eta^2(y)1_{\mathfrak{o}}(y)$ and $\rho_\psi(g)\phi(1) = \chi_\psi(y)|y|^{1/2}1_{\mathfrak{o}}(y)$, where η denotes the unramified unitary character of $F^\times$ for which $\pi \cong \mathrm{Sp} \otimes \eta$. Thus

$$W(g)\rho_\psi(g)\phi(1)\overline{f(g)} = \overline{\chi}(y)\eta^2(y)|y|^{7/2}1_{\mathfrak{o}}(y)q^{1/2}1_{\mathfrak{p}}(z).$$

When σ is tempered, so that χ is unitary, it follows from §2.14 that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) \asymp \left| \int_{y \in F^\times} \int_{z \in F} |y|^{7/2}\chi(y)1_{\mathfrak{o}}(y)q^{1/2}1_{\mathfrak{p}}(z) \frac{d^\times y}{|y|^2}\, dz \right|^2 \asymp q^{-1}. \tag{2.22}$$

This completes the proof of the lower bound in the tempered case.

We deduce the non-tempered case from the tempered case as in §2.15.6: Denoting by ξ the analytic function for which $\xi(s)$ is the value taken by the LHS of (2.22) at $\chi = |.|^s$, we compute first in the tempered case $s \in i\mathbb{R}$ that $\xi(s) = cq^{-1}\zeta_F(3/2 + s)\zeta_F(3/2 - s)$ holds for some $c > 0$ with $c \asymp 1$. This identity then extends analytically to the cross $[-\vartheta, \vartheta] \cup i\mathbb{R}$, giving the required lower bound also in the non-tempered case.

2.17.5. *Upper bounds.* If $c(\omega) = 0$, then it follows from §2.10 that Φ^ω is a newvector of norm $\asymp 1$, and the required estimate is given by [24, Lem 4.4]. Write $\nu := \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}(\omega)$. If $c(\omega) \geqslant 1$, then $c(\nu) = 2c(\omega) \geqslant 2$, so ν contains no nonzero $K_0[1]$-invariant vectors; since φ_1 transforms under a unitary character of $K_0[1]$, it follows from the $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$-invariance of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)}$ that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)}(\varphi_1, \overline{\varphi_1}, \Phi^\omega) = 0$.

2.18. Sobolev–type bounds for twisting isometries. Let π be an irreducible unitary representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, and let χ be a unitary character of $F^\times$. We may then form the tensor product $\pi \otimes \chi$ of π by the one-dimensional representation spanned by the function $\mathrm{GL}_2(F) \ni g \mapsto \chi(\det g)$. The map $v \mapsto v \otimes 1$ defines an isomorphism $j_\chi : \pi \to \pi \otimes \chi$ of vector spaces. The representation $\pi \otimes \chi$ admits a natural unitary structure.

The map j_χ is an isometry, and is $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$-equivariant, but is typically not $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$-equivariant, and does not in general preserve Sobolev norms. However, it only polynomially distorts the latter:

Lemma. Let $d \geqslant 0$ be fixed. Then $\mathcal{S}_d(j_\chi(v)) \ll C(\chi)^{O(1)}\|v\| + \mathcal{S}_d(v) \ll C(\chi)^{O(1)}\mathcal{S}_d(v)$ for all $v \in \pi$.

Proof. We assume familiarity with [22, §2]. Suppose first that F is nonarchimedean. Let $K[n] \leqslant \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o})$ denote the nth principal congruence subgroup. Write $C(\chi) = q^c$ with $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$. Then the function $g \mapsto \chi(\det(g))$ is $K[c]$-invariant. Expanding the definition of $\mathcal{S}_d$ (see [22, §2.5]), we obtain $\mathcal{S}_d(j_\chi(v))^2 \leqslant q^{dc}\|v\|^2 + \mathcal{S}_d(v)^2$. In the archimedean case, we argue similarly using that $Xj_\chi(v) = j_\chi(Xv + d\chi(\mathrm{trace}(X))v)$ for X in the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$. □

3. Global preliminaries

Let F be a number field with adele ring $\mathbb{A}$. Fix a nontrivial unitary character $\psi : \mathbb{A}/F \to \mathbb{C}^\times$.

3.1. Generalities.

3.1.1. *The metaplectic group.* Let $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A})$ denote the metaplectic double cover of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A})$. It may be identified with the set of pairs $(\sigma, \zeta) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}) \times \{\pm 1\}$ with the group law $(\sigma, \zeta)(\sigma', \zeta') = (\sigma\sigma', \zeta\zeta' c(\sigma, \sigma'))$ where $c(\sigma, \sigma') := \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} c_{\mathfrak{p}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}, \sigma'_{\mathfrak{p}})$ with $c_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the local cocycle of §2.1.1. Given $u = (\sigma, \zeta) \in \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A})$, we denote by $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A})}(u) := \sigma$ its projection to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ and by $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})}(u) := \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ its projection to $\mathrm{SL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Recall that a function $f : \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ is called *genuine* if $f(\sigma, -1) = -f(\sigma, 1)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A})$. A product $f_1 f_2$ of genuine functions descends to a function $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ that we also denote by $f_1 f_2$. We identify $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ with its image under the canonical homomorphism $\mathrm{SL}_2(F) \to \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A})$ lifting the inclusion $\mathrm{SL}_2(F) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A})$, which may be characterized in turn by requiring that the elementary theta functions defined below be left $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$-invariant.

3.1.2. *Groups, measures, norms.* For $G \in \{\mathrm{GL}_1, \mathrm{SL}_2, \mathrm{PGL}_2\}$, we denote by $[G] := G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$ the corresponding quotient. When $G \neq \mathrm{GL}_1$, we equip $[G]$ with Tamagawa measure, so that $\mathrm{vol}([\mathrm{SL}_2]) = 1$, $\mathrm{vol}([\mathrm{PGL}_2]) = 2$. We equip $[\mathrm{GL}_1]$ with an arbitrary Haar measure. In all cases, the Haar on $[G]$ lifts to a Haar on $G(\mathbb{A})$ and then factors as a product of Haar measures on $G(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ which, for almost all finite primes $\mathfrak{p}$, assign volume one to maximal compact subgroups. We thereby

obtain for each place $\mathfrak{p}$ of F a local field $F_\mathfrak{p}$ with nontrivial unitary character $\psi_\mathfrak{p}$ and Haar measures on each of the groups $G(F_\mathfrak{p})$. The discussion of §2 then applies.

For $g = (g_\mathfrak{p})$ in one of the groups $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A})$, we denote by $\|g\| := \prod \|g_\mathfrak{p}\|$ and $\|\mathrm{Ad}(g)\| := \prod \|\mathrm{Ad}(g_\mathfrak{p})\|$ the products of the local norms defined in §2.1.9. We extend this definition to $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A})$ via pullback.

3.1.3. *Convention on factorization of unitary structures.* Let π be an automorphic representation of one of the groups $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A})$, $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A})$, $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$. Assume that it factors as a restricted tensor product $\pi = \otimes\pi_\mathfrak{p}$; this happens for each π that we consider. If π is unitary and equipped with some specific unitary structure, then we always fix a unitary structure on the components $\pi_\mathfrak{p}$ that is compatible with this factorization, so that $\|\otimes \varphi_\mathfrak{p}\| = \prod \|\varphi_\mathfrak{p}\|$.

3.1.4. *"Good places" and "unramified".* We say that a place $\mathfrak{p}$ of F is *good* if $\mathfrak{p}$ is non-archimedean, $\mathrm{norm}(\mathfrak{p})$ is odd, $F_\mathfrak{p}$ is unramified over its prime subfield, $\psi_\mathfrak{p}$ is unramified, and the Haar measures defined in §3.1.2 on the groups $G(F_\mathfrak{p})$ assign volume one to maximal compact subgroups. Thus almost all (i.e., all but finitely many) places are good, and the assumptions of §2.1.7 (defining the "unramified case") apply whenever $\mathfrak{p}$ is good. We say that $\mathfrak{p}$ is *bad* if it is not good.

For a good place $\mathfrak{p}$ and $G \in \{\mathrm{GL}_1, \mathrm{SL}_2, \mathrm{PGL}_2, \mathrm{Mp}_2\}$, we say that a factorizable vector $\varphi = \otimes\varphi_\mathfrak{p}$ in a factorizable representation $\pi = \otimes\pi_\mathfrak{p}$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ is *unramified at* $\mathfrak{p}$ if the local component $\varphi_\mathfrak{p}$ is unramified in the sense of §2.4; otherwise, we say that φ *ramifies at* or *is ramified at* $\mathfrak{p}$.

3.2. Hecke characters. A *Hecke character* is a continuous homomorphism $\chi : \mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. Its *real part* is the real number $\mathrm{Re}(\chi)$ for which $|\chi(y)| = |y|^{\mathrm{Re}(\chi)}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{X}$ the group of Hecke characters and by $\mathfrak{X}(c)$ the subset consisting of those with real part c. The space $\mathfrak{X}(c)$ comes equipped with a natural measure dual to the given Haar measure on $\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times$; it may be characterized by requiring that for all real numbers c and test functions f on $\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times$, the inversion formula $\int_{\chi\in\mathfrak{X}(c)} \int_{y\in\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times} f(y)\chi(y) = f(1)$ holds.

3.3. Sobolev norms. Given a unitary representation π of one of the groups or $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}), \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A}), \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A}), \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$, we define Sobolev norms $\mathcal{S}_d$ on π as in [22, §2] and [26, §4.6, §5.3]. If π factors as a restricted tensor product $\otimes\pi_\mathfrak{p}$ and we fix unitary structures on its local components compatible with this factorization, then the Sobolev norms factor on pure tensors: $\mathcal{S}_d(\otimes v_\mathfrak{p}) = \prod \mathcal{S}_d(v_\mathfrak{p})$. We retain the convention of §2.9 concerning "implied indices."

The refined "automorphic" Sobolev norms $\mathcal{S}_d^{\mathbf{X}}$ considered in [22, §2] and [26, §4.6, §5.3] will not be used in the present paper.

3.4. Elementary theta functions. Let ρ_ψ denote the Weil representation attached to ψ of $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A})$ acting on the Schwartz–Bruhat space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A})$. It is the restricted tensor product of the spaces considered in §2.2. For $\phi \in \rho_\psi$, the elementary theta function $\theta(\phi) : \mathrm{SL}_2(F)\backslash \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ is the genuine automorphic form defined by the convergent series $\theta(\phi)(g) := \sum_{\alpha\in F} (\rho_\psi(g)\phi)(\alpha)$. The map $\rho_\psi \ni \phi \mapsto \theta(\phi)$ is equivariant, and quite nearly unitary (see (3.4)) on the orthogonal complement $\{\phi : \phi(-x) = \phi(x) \text{ for all } x\}$ of its kernel $\{\phi : \phi(-x) = -\phi(x) \text{ for all } x\}$.

3.5. Eisenstein series.

3.5.1. *Induced representations.* For a Hecke character χ and $G \in \{\mathrm{SL}_2, \mathrm{PGL}_2\}$ we define an induced representation $\mathcal{I}_G(\chi)$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ either by mimicking the local definitions of §2.3 or by taking the restricted tensor products of the representations attached there to the local components χ_v. If χ is unitary, then $\mathcal{I}_G(\chi)$ is unitary, and we equip it with the tensor product of the locally-defined unitary structures.

3.5.2. *Intertwiners.* Denote by Eis_χ (or simply Eis when χ is clear by context) the standard map from $\mathcal{I}_G(\chi)$ to the space of automorphic forms, defined for $\mathrm{Re}(\chi)$ large enough by averaging over left cosets of the standard Borel in $G(F)$ and in general by meromorphic continuation.

3.5.3. *Rankin–Selberg period formulas on* PGL_2. The following lemma paraphrases a special case of [22, §4.4] (compare with [22, §2.2.2]).

Lemma. Let π be a cuspidal automorphic representation $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A})$. Let χ be a unitary character of $\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times$. Set $\pi_1 := \pi, \pi_2 := \overline{\pi}$ and $\pi_3 = \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}(\chi)$. Equip π_1, π_2 with the norm coming from $L^2([\mathrm{PGL}_2])$ and π_3 with that coming from $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}(\chi)$. For $i = 1, 2, 3$, let $\varphi_i = \otimes \varphi_{i\mathfrak{p}} \in \pi_i = \otimes \pi_{i\mathfrak{p}}$ be a factorizable vector. Let S be a finite set of places of F, containing the bad ones, with the property that $\varphi_{i\mathfrak{p}}$ is an unramified unit vector for all i and each $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$. Then the squared period

$$\left| \int_{[\mathrm{PGL}_2]} \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \, \mathrm{Eis}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}(\varphi_3) \right|^2$$

is equal to

$$c \frac{\zeta^{(S)}(2) L^{(S)}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \otimes \pi_3, 1/2)}{L^{(S)}(\mathrm{ad}(\pi), 1)^2 |L^{(S)}(\chi, 1)|^2} \prod_{v \in S} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(F_v)}(\varphi_{1v}, \varphi_{2v}, \varphi_{3v}).$$

for some $c > 0$ depending only upon F.

We note also that $L(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \otimes \pi_3, s) = L(\pi \times \overline{\pi} \otimes \chi, s) L(\pi \otimes \overline{\pi} \otimes \chi^{-1}, s)$.

3.5.4. SL_2 *vs.* PGL_2. If ω is a Hecke character with $\omega^2 = \chi$ and f is an element of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}(\chi)$, denote by f^ω its unique extension to $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}(\omega)$, as in §2.3.7.

3.5.5. *Lifting Rankin–Selberg periods on* SL_2 *to* PGL_2. We shall encounter Rankin–Selberg integrals on $[\mathrm{SL}_2]$ involving restrictions of automorphic forms on $[\mathrm{GL}_2]$. In order to to relate such integrals via §3.5.3 to products of L-values and local integrals, we must first lift them to $[\mathrm{PGL}_2]$:

Lemma. Let π be a cuspidal automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$. Let χ be a Hecke character with $\mathrm{Re}(\chi) > -1$ and $\chi \neq |.|^{\pm 1}$. Let $\varphi \in \pi, \varphi' \in \overline{\pi}$ and $f \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}(\chi)$. Then

$$\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \varphi \varphi' \, \mathrm{Eis}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}(f) = c \sum_{\omega \in \mathfrak{X} : \omega^2 = \chi} \int_{[\mathrm{PGL}_2]} \varphi \varphi' \, \mathrm{Eis}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}(f^\omega) \tag{3.1}$$

for some $c > 0$ depending only upon F.

Proof. Denote temporarily by K the standard maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A})$, by $\overline{K}$ its image in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A})$, by N the standard unipotent subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A})$, by T the standard diagonal torus of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A})$, and by A the standard diagonal torus of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A})$. We will exploit below the decompositions $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A}) = N A \overline{K}$ and $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}) = NTK$.

Denote by $\Phi(g) := \int_{x\in\mathbb{A}/F} \varphi\varphi'(n(x)g)$ the constant term of $\varphi\varphi'$. Both sides of (3.1) vary holomorphically with respect to χ as f varies in a flat section, so we may reduce to the case that $\mathrm{Re}(\chi)$ is sufficiently large. By the inclusion of the factor c, the choice of Haar measures is irrelevant; choosing them suitably and unfolding, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \varphi\varphi' \,\mathrm{Eis}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}(f) &= \int_{k\in K}\int_{y\in\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times} f(t(y)k)\Phi(t(y)k)|y|^{-2} \\ &= \int_{k\in K} f(k) \int_{y\in\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times} \Phi(a(y^2)k)|y|^{-1}\chi(y)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{[\mathrm{PGL}_2]} \varphi\varphi' \,\mathrm{Eis}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}(f^\omega) &= \int_{k\in K}\int_{y\in\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times} f^\omega(a(y)k)\Phi(a(y)k)|y|^{-1} \\ &= \int_{k\in K} f(k) \int_{y\in\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times} \Phi(a(y)k)|y|^{-1/2}\omega(y).\end{aligned}$$

By approximating Φ by test functions, we reduce to verifying for each test function ϕ on $\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times$ and each Hecke character χ that

$$\int_{y\in\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times} \phi(y^2)\chi(y) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\omega\in\mathfrak{X}:\omega^2=\chi} \int_{y\in\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times} \phi(y)\omega(y). \tag{3.2}$$

The proof of (3.2) is an exercise in Pontryagin duality and quotient measures, and left to the reader; it is similar to the identity $\int_{y\in\mathbb{R}_+^\times} \phi(y^2)|y|^s\, d^\times y = \frac{1}{2}\int_{y\in\mathbb{R}_+^\times} \phi(y)|y|^{s/2}\, d^\times y$ satisfied by test functions ϕ on $\mathbb{R}_+^\times \cong \mathbb{R}^\times/\{\pm 1\}$ and complex numbers s. □

Remark. The sum indexed by ω in (3.1) is finite in the sense that the summand vanishes for ω outside some finite set depending at most upon φ, φ'. Indeed, the $[\mathrm{PGL}_2]$-integral vanishes unless ω is unramified at all good places $\mathfrak{p}$ for F at which φ, φ' are unramified.

3.6. Regularized spectral expansions of products of elementary theta functions. Let χ be a unitary Hecke character. Denote by $I_\chi : \rho_\psi \otimes \rho_{\overline{\psi}} \to \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}(\chi)$ the $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A})$-equivariant intertwiner defined and studied in [26, §5.8]; it is given on pure tensors $\phi = \otimes\phi_\mathfrak{p}$ by $I_\chi(\phi) = L^{(S)}(\chi, 1)\cdot(\otimes I_{\chi_\mathfrak{p}}(\phi_\mathfrak{p}))$, where S is any finite set of places containing the bad places and any at which ϕ is ramified, and $I_{\chi_\mathfrak{p}}$ is as in §2.10. We record a special case of [26, Thm 2]:

Lemma. Let $\Phi : [\mathrm{SL}_2] \to \mathbb{C}$ be smooth and of rapid decay. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \rho_\psi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Phi\theta(\phi_1)\overline{\theta(\phi_2)} &= \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Phi \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \theta(\phi_1)\overline{\theta(\phi_2)} \\ &\quad + \int_{\chi\in\mathfrak{X}(0)} \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Phi\,\mathrm{Eis}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}(I_\chi(\phi_1\otimes\overline{\phi_2})).\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover, if $\phi_i(-x) = \phi_i(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{A}$, then

$$\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \theta(\phi_1)\overline{\theta(\phi_2)} = 2\int_\mathbb{A} \phi_1\overline{\phi_2}. \tag{3.4}$$

Remark 1. The integrand in (3.3) is holomorphic in χ: the simple pole of $\chi \mapsto I_\chi$ at the trivial character is cancelled by the corresponding simple zero of the Eisenstein intertwiner.

Remark 2. The second assertion (3.4) may be applied to general $\phi_i \in \rho_\psi$ by first replacing them with their even projections $\phi_i^+(x) := (\phi_i(x) + \phi_i(-x))/2$.

3.7. Global Waldspurger packets. Let τ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A})$. Given a collection of elements $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ of the local Waldspurger packets $\mathrm{Wd}_{\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\tau_{\mathfrak{p}})$ indexed by some signs $\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{p}} = \pm 1$ as in §2.6 (necessarily $\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{p}} = +1$ for almost all $\mathfrak{p}$), one may form the restricted tensor products $\sigma^\varepsilon = \otimes \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Waldspurger showed that σ^ε is automorphic if and only if $\prod \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{p}} = \varepsilon(\tau, 1/2)$; moreover, σ^ε is then cuspidal and occurs with multiplicity one in the space of automorphic forms on $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)\backslash \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A})$. It is thus meaningful to regard $\mathrm{Wd}_\psi(\tau) := \{\sigma^\varepsilon : \prod \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{p}} = \varepsilon(\tau, 1/2)\}$ as a finite collection of genuine cuspidal automorphic representations of $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A})$.

Suppose now that $\tau_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is principal series for all places $\mathfrak{p}$. Then each local Waldspurger packet is a singleton $\mathrm{Wd}_{\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\tau_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \{\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+\}$ and $\varepsilon(\tau, 1/2) = 1$, so the global Waldspurger packet is a singleton $\mathrm{Wd}_\psi(\tau) = \{\sigma\}$ consisting of a cuspidal automorphic representation σ of $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A})$.

3.8. Generalized Shimura integrals. Let τ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A})$. Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Wd}_\psi(\tau)$. Let π be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ with unitary central character ω_π. Let ρ_ψ be the Weil representation of $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A})$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A})$. Consider the following $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A})$-invariant hermitian form $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}$ on $\pi \otimes \rho_\psi \otimes \sigma$: for $\varphi_1 \in \pi, \varphi_2 \in \rho_\psi, \varphi_3 \in \sigma$,

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) = |\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \varphi_1 \cdot \theta(\varphi_2) \cdot \overline{\varphi_3}|^2.$$

We recall [29, Thm 4.5]:

Lemma. Assume that the φ_i are pure tensors $\otimes_{\mathfrak{p}} \varphi_{i\mathfrak{p}}$. Let S be a finite set of places, containing the bad ones, with the property that $\varphi_{i\mathfrak{p}}$ is an unramified unit vector for all i and each $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$. Set $\zeta_F^{(S)}(s) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} \zeta_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}(s)$. Then

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) = \frac{1}{2^2} \frac{\zeta_F^{(S)}(2) L^{(S)}(\mathrm{ad}(\pi) \otimes \tau, 1/2)}{L^{(S)}(\mathrm{ad}(\tau), 1) L^{(S)}(\mathrm{ad}(\pi), 1)} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})}(\varphi_{1\mathfrak{p}}, \varphi_{2\mathfrak{p}}, \varphi_{3\mathfrak{p}}).$$

3.9. Bounds for SL_2-matrix coefficients of automorphic representations of GL_2. Let π be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$. The standard unitary structure on π is given for $\varphi, \varphi' \in \pi$ by $\langle \varphi', \varphi \rangle := \int_{[\mathrm{PGL}_2]} \varphi' \overline{\varphi}$. In general, this differs from the modified pairing $\langle \varphi', \varphi \rangle_{\mathrm{SL}_2} := \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \varphi' \overline{\varphi}$ given by integrating over $[\mathrm{SL}_2] \subseteq [\mathrm{GL}_2]$. The two are related by Fourier inversion: taking into account that $\mathrm{vol}([\mathrm{PGL}_2]) = 2\,\mathrm{vol}([\mathrm{SL}_2])$, we have

$$\langle \varphi', \varphi \rangle_{\mathrm{SL}_2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\chi \in \mathfrak{X} : \chi^2 = 1} \langle \varphi', \varphi \otimes \chi \rangle \tag{3.5}$$

where $\varphi \otimes \chi \in \pi \otimes \chi$ denotes the automorphic form given by $(\varphi \otimes \chi)(g) := \varphi(g)\chi(\det g)$. The sum on the RHS of (3.5) may be restricted to those χ for which $\pi \otimes \chi \cong \pi$. By the local estimate of §2.18 and axiom (S1d) of [22, §2.4], one has

for each fixed d the estimate $\mathcal{S}_d(\varphi \otimes \chi) \ll C(\chi)^{O(1)}\mathcal{S}(\varphi)$. By the local bound for matrix coefficients given in §2.11, we obtain for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ the crude but sufficient bound

$$\langle \pi(g)\varphi', \varphi\rangle \ll \|\mathrm{Ad}(g)\|^{\vartheta'-1/2}\mathcal{S}(\varphi)\mathcal{S}(\varphi') \sum_{\chi\in\mathfrak{X}:\chi^2=1,\pi\otimes\chi\cong\pi} C(\chi)^{O(1)} \tag{3.6}$$

4. Main result

4.1. Statement.

4.1.1. *Inputs.* Fix a number field F and let $\mathbb{A}$, $\psi : \mathbb{A}/F \to \mathbb{C}^{(1)}$ be as in §3. We assume given an infinite countable collection $\mathcal{F}$ consisting of pairs $(\mathfrak{p}_0, \pi)$, where

(1) $\mathfrak{p}_0$ is a finite prime of F, and
(2) π is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ whose local component $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ satisfies the hypotheses of §2.17.

We assume also that

$$\#\{(\mathfrak{p}_0, \pi) \in \mathcal{F} : \mathrm{norm}(\mathfrak{p}_0) \leqslant X\} < \infty \text{ for each } X > 0. \tag{4.1}$$

4.1.2. *Asymptotic notation and terminology.* We denote in what follows by $(\mathfrak{p}_0, \pi)$ a varying element of $\mathcal{F}$. Our convention is that all objects (scalars, places, representations, vectors, ...) considered below are allowed to depend implicitly upon $(\mathfrak{p}_0, \pi)$ unless they are explicitly designated as *fixed*, in which case we require that they depend at most upon the number field F, the family $\mathcal{F}$, and any aforementioned fixed quantities. An assertion α depending upon $(\mathfrak{p}_0, \pi)$ will be said to hold *eventually* if there is a fixed finite subset $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ so that α holds whenever $(\mathfrak{p}_0, \pi) \notin \mathcal{F}_0$. The standard asymptotic notation is then defined accordingly. For example, given complex scalar quantities X, Y (possibly depending implicitly upon the pair $(\mathfrak{p}_0, \pi)$, per our convention), we write

- $X = O(Y)$, $X \ll Y$ or $Y \gg X$ to denote that there is a fixed $c > 0$ so that $|X| \leqslant c|Y|$, and
- $X = o(Y)$ to denote that for each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, one has $|X| \leqslant \varepsilon|Y|$ eventually.

Set $Q = \mathrm{norm}(\mathfrak{p}_0)$. Our assumption (4.1) says that Q eventually exceeds any fixed positive real.

4.1.3. *Assumptions.* Our results are conditional on the following hypothesis:

Hypothesis $H(\mathcal{F})$**.** *There is a fixed $\delta_0 > 0$ so that for each unitary character χ of $\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times$,*

$$L(\pi \otimes \overline{\pi} \otimes \chi, 1/2) \ll C(\pi \otimes \overline{\pi} \otimes \chi)^{1/4-\delta_0} \cdot \left(C(\chi) \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p}:\mathfrak{p}\neq\mathfrak{p}_0} C(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}) \right)^{O(1)}.$$

In other words, we assume a subconvex bound in the $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$-aspect with polynomial dependence upon χ and the ramification of π at places other than $\mathfrak{p}_0$.

4.1.4. *Main result.*

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family as above that satisfies Hypothesis $H(\mathcal{F})$. Let $(\mathfrak{p}_0, \pi) \in \mathcal{F}$, as above. There is then a fixed $\delta > 0$ with the following property. Let τ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ for which either*

(1) *each local component $\tau_{\mathfrak{p}}$ belongs to the principal series, or*
(2) *the conclusion of the conjecture of §2.15.1 holds.*

Then

$$L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi) \otimes \tau, 1/2) \ll C(\mathrm{ad}(\pi) \otimes \tau)^{1/4-\delta} P^{O(1)},$$

where $P := C(\tau) \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p}:\mathfrak{p}\neq\mathfrak{p}_0} C(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

4.2. Preliminary reductions. Observe first (thanks to the "pass to worst-case subsequences" argument) that in proving Theorem 2, we may freely replace $\mathcal{F}$ by any infinite subset thereof. Next, observe that if the quantity P in the statement of Theorem 2 satisfies $\log P \gg \log Q$, then the required conclusion is worse than the convexity bound. For this reason, we may and shall assume that

$$C(\tau) \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p}:\mathfrak{p}\neq\mathfrak{p}_0} C(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}) = Q^{o(1)}. \tag{4.2}$$

This reduction has some pleasant consequences:

(1) It implies that $\tau_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ is unramified, so that the results of §2.17 become applicable.
(2) It implies that
$$C(\mathrm{ad}(\pi) \otimes \tau) = Q^{4+o(1)}. \tag{4.3}$$
(3) For each unitary Hecke character χ,
$$C(\pi \otimes \overline{\pi} \otimes \chi) = Q^{2+o(1)} C(\chi)^{O(1)}; \tag{4.4}$$
(4) $\#\,\mathrm{ram}(\pi) + \#\,\mathrm{ram}(\tau) = o(\log(Q))$.
(5) If S is a finite set of places and $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ($\mathfrak{p} \in S$) are positive real quantities for which
   - S contains at most $o(\log Q)$ places not already in $\mathrm{ram}(\pi) \cup \mathrm{ram}(\tau)$, and
   - each $B_{\mathfrak{p}} \asymp 1$,

   then $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S} B_{\mathfrak{p}} = Q^{o(1)}$. Note that known bounds toward Ramanujan (see §2.7) imply that any individual non-archimedean Euler factor considered below has magnitude $\asymp 1$.

4.3. Reduction to period bounds. Define $\varphi_1 \in \pi, \phi_2 \in \rho_\psi, \varphi_3 \in \sigma$ to be the pure tensors obtained from the choices of local vectors given in §2.15 (for $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{p}_0$) and in §2.17 (for $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_0$). Set $\varphi_2 := \theta(\phi_2)$. By the Shimura–like period formula from §3.8, the known global estimate $L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi), 1) = Q^{o(1)}$ (see [10], [2, §2.9]) and the local lower bounds of §2.15 and §2.17, we have

$$\frac{L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi) \otimes \tau, 1/2)}{C(\tau \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\pi))^{1/4}} \ll Q^{o(1)} \left|\langle \varphi_1\varphi_2, \varphi_3\rangle\right|^2.$$

Recalling the estimate (4.3) for the conductor, the proof of Theorem 2 reduces to that of the period bound

$$\langle \varphi_1\varphi_2, \varphi_3\rangle \ll Q^{-\delta} \tag{4.5}$$

for some fixed $\delta > 0$.

We will prove (4.5) by applying the amplification method of [22] arranged so that Cauchy–Schwarz is applied the vector φ_3. The key input in that method is an asymptotic formula for some mild generalizations of the L^2-norms $\langle \varphi_1\varphi_2, \varphi_1\varphi_2\rangle = \langle |\varphi_1|^2, |\varphi_2|^2\rangle$; we establish such a formula below in §4.4. In section §4.5, we refine that formula by estimating the main and error terms. In section §4.6, we recall the construction of an amplifier, following [22]. In section §4.7, we pull everything together to deduce the bound (4.5).

4.4. The key estimate. Recall from §3.1.1 that to each $u \in \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A})$ and each place $\mathfrak{p}$ we may attach a local component $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F_\mathfrak{p})}(u) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(F_\mathfrak{p})$. We say that u is *reasonable* if

- $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}_\circ})}(u) = 1$, and
- $\#\{\mathfrak{p} : \mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F_\mathfrak{p})}(u) \neq 1\} = o(\log Q)$.

Given a pure tensor $\varphi = \otimes\varphi_\mathfrak{p}$ in some factorizable unitary representation (such as π or ρ_ψ), it will be convenient to introduce the abbreviation $\mathcal{S}'_d(\varphi) := \|\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}_0}\| \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\neq\mathfrak{p}_0} \mathcal{S}_d(\varphi_\mathfrak{p})$; thus $\mathcal{S}'_d(\varphi)$ quantifies the ramification of φ at places other than the distinguished place $\mathfrak{p}_0$. We retain the standard convention concerning implied indices of Sobolev norms (see §2.9, §3.3), so that "$\mathcal{S}'$" means "$\mathcal{S}'_d$ for some fixed d."

It will be typographically convenient in what follows to denote by φ^u the left action of a group element $u \in \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A})$ on an automorphic form φ. Thus $\varphi_1^u := \pi(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A})}(u))\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2^u := \theta(\rho_\psi(u)\phi_2)$ if $\varphi_2 = \theta(\phi_2)$. The side-effect $\varphi^{u_1u_2} = (\varphi^{u_2})^{u_1}$ of this convention will not matter for us.

Proposition 1. *Assume hypothesis $H(\mathcal{F})$. There is a fixed $\delta_1 > 0$ so that for each reasonable element $u \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A})$,*

$$\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \varphi_1^u\varphi_2^u\overline{\varphi_1\varphi_2} - \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \varphi_1^u\overline{\varphi_1}\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \varphi_2^u\overline{\varphi_2} \ll Q^{-\delta_1}\prod_{i=1,2}\mathcal{S}'(\varphi_i^u)\mathcal{S}'(\varphi_i). \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. We first rearrange $\varphi_1^u\varphi_2^u\overline{\varphi_1\varphi_2} = \varphi_1^u\overline{\varphi_1}\varphi_2^u\overline{\varphi_2}$. By the regularized spectral expansion of §3.6, we reduce to showing that

$$\int_{\chi\in\mathfrak{X}(0)}\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \varphi_1^u\overline{\varphi_1}\,\mathrm{Eis}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}(I_\chi(\phi_2^u\otimes\overline{\phi_2})) \ll \mathcal{E} \tag{4.7}$$

where $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{S}'(\varphi_1^u)\mathcal{S}'(\varphi_1)\mathcal{S}'(\phi_2^u)\mathcal{S}'(\phi_2)Q^{-\delta_1}$. Let $A > 1$ be fixed and large enough that $\int_{\chi\in\mathfrak{X}(0)}\sum_{\omega\in\mathfrak{X}(0):\omega^2=\chi} C(\omega)^{-A} < \infty$. By lifting the SL_2-periods in (4.7) to PGL_2-periods as in §3.5.5, we reduce to showing for each $\omega, \chi \in \mathfrak{X}(0)$ with $\omega^2 = \chi$ that

$$\int_{[\mathrm{PGL}_2]} \varphi_1^u\overline{\varphi_1}\,\mathrm{Eis}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}(I_\chi(\phi_2^u\otimes\overline{\phi_2})^\omega) \ll C(\omega)^{-A}\mathcal{E}. \tag{4.8}$$

As noted in §3.5.5, the LHS of (4.8) vanishes unless, as we henceforth assume, $\omega_\mathfrak{p}$ is unramified for all good places $\mathfrak{p}$ of F with $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F_\mathfrak{p})}(u) = 1$ and $\phi_\mathfrak{p}$ unramified. By this observation and the assumption that u is reasonable, the set S of places at which anything is ramified satisfies $\#S = o(\log Q)$; this property will be used in what follows to control products of implied constants and local Euler factors, as discussed in §4.2. By the Rankin–Selberg period formula of §3.5.3 and the definition of I_χ given in §3.6, the squared magnitude of the LHS of (4.8) factors as a product

$\mathcal{G}\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of global and local quantities, where

$$\mathcal{G} \asymp \frac{|L^{(S)}(\pi\otimes\overline{\pi}\otimes\chi,1/2)|^2}{L^{(S)}(\mathrm{ad}(\pi),1)^2}$$

and (with notation as in §2.3.7, §2.10)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})}(\varphi_{1\mathfrak{p}}^{u_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \overline{\varphi_{1\mathfrak{p}}}, I_{\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\phi_{2,\mathfrak{p}}^{u_{\mathfrak{p}}}\otimes\overline{\phi_{2,\mathfrak{p}}})^{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}}).$$

By the subconvexity hypothesis $H(\mathcal{F})$, the approximation (4.4) for the analytic conductor and the estimate $L(\mathrm{ad}(\pi),1) = Q^{o(1)}$, we have

$$\mathcal{G} \ll Q^{-(2.001)\delta_1} C(\pi\times\overline{\pi}\times\omega)^{1/2}$$

for some fixed $\delta_1 > 0$. The local estimates of §2.16 and §2.17 and the assumption $u_{\mathfrak{p}_0} = 1$ give

$$|\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}| \ll C(\omega_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-2A} \cdot \begin{cases} \mathcal{S}(\varphi_{1\mathfrak{p}}^{u_{\mathfrak{p}}})^2\mathcal{S}(\varphi_{1\mathfrak{p}})^2\mathcal{S}(\phi_{2\mathfrak{p}}^{u_{\mathfrak{p}}})^2\mathcal{S}(\phi_{2\mathfrak{p}})^2 & \text{if } \mathfrak{p}\neq\mathfrak{p}_0, \\ C(\pi\times\overline{\pi}\times\omega)^{-1/2}\|\varphi_{1\mathfrak{p}_0}\|^4\|\phi_{2\mathfrak{p}_0}\|^4 & \text{if } \mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{p}_0. \end{cases}$$

These estimates combine to give $|\mathcal{G}\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}|^{1/2} \ll C(\omega)^{-A}\mathcal{E}$, as required. □

4.5. Bounds for matrix coefficients and Sobolev norms. Let $u \in \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A})$ be reasonable. In this section, we refine the estimate (4.6) by taking into account our choice of vectors and bounds for matrix coefficients.

Recall from §4.2 that we have reduced to the case that $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\neq\mathfrak{p}_0} C(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}) = Q^{o(1)}$, and that the local component $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ has conductor $\mathfrak{p}$ and unramified central character, hence is an unramified twist of the special representation. In particular, $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ is not isomorphic to its twist by any nontrivial quadratic character of the local multiplicative group $F_{\mathfrak{p}_0}^{\times}$. It follows that any (quadratic) Hecke character χ for which $\pi\otimes\chi\cong\pi$ satisfies $C(\chi) = Q^{o(1)}$; moreover, the number of such χ is at most $O(2^{\#\,\mathrm{ram}(\pi)}) = Q^{o(1)}$. By a variant of the arguments of §3.9 (taking into account that $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}_0})}(u) = 1$) and the consequence $\mathcal{S}'(\varphi_1)^2 \ll Q^{o(1)}$ of our choice of φ_1 (see §2.15, §2.17), it follows that

$$\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \varphi_1^u\overline{\varphi_1} \ll \|\mathrm{Ad}(u)\|^{\vartheta'-1/2}Q^{o(1)}. \tag{4.9}$$

Similarly but more simply, the global identity (3.4) describing the unitary structure on elementary theta functions and the local estimate of §2.11 for their matrix coefficients furnish the bound

$$\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \varphi_2^u\overline{\varphi_2} \ll \|\mathrm{Ad}(u)\|^{-1/4}Q^{o(1)}. \tag{4.10}$$

Our choice of vectors and (4.2) imply for $i = 1, 2$ that

$$\mathcal{S}'(\varphi_i) \ll Q^{o(1)}, \quad \mathcal{S}'(\varphi_i^u) \ll \|u\|^{O(1)}Q^{o(1)}. \tag{4.11}$$

For future reference, we record also that

$$\|\varphi_3\| \ll 1. \tag{4.12}$$

We conclude by (4.6), (4.9), (4.10), and (4.11) that the SL_2-periods

$$\mathcal{I}_u := \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \varphi_1^u\varphi_2^u\overline{\varphi_1\varphi_2}\, d\nu^{(2)}(u)$$

satisfy

$$\mathcal{I}_u \ll (\|\operatorname{Ad}(u)\|^{\vartheta'-3/4} + \|u\|^B Q^{-\delta_1}) Q^{o(1)} \tag{4.13}$$

for some fixed $\delta_1 > 0, B \geqslant 0$.

4.6. Construction of an amplifier.

Lemma. For each fixed $\eta > 0$ there is a finite measure ν on $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{A})$ for which the following properties hold eventually:

1. *The total variation measure $|\nu|$ has mass bounded above by $Q^{C\eta}$, where $C \geqslant 0$ is fixed and independent of η.*
2. *Set $|\nu|^{(2)} := |\nu| * |\nu^*|$. For each fixed $\gamma > 1/2$ there is a fixed $\delta > 0$ so that*
$$\int \|\operatorname{Ad}(u)\|^{-\gamma} \, d|\nu|^{(2)}(u) \leqslant Q^{-\delta}.$$
3. *$\varphi_3 * \nu = c\varphi_3$ for some $c \gg Q^{-o(1)}$.*
4. *Each $u \in \operatorname{supp}(\nu)$ has the properties:*
   (a) *u is reasonable in the sense of §4.4;*
   (b) *$\mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F_\mathfrak{p})}(u) = 1$ unless $\mathfrak{p}$ is a finite place at which π, σ, ψ are all unramified;*
   (c) *$\|u\| \leqslant Q^\eta$.*

   In particular each $u \in \operatorname{supp}(|\nu|^{(2)})$ is reasonable.

The proof is as in [22, §5.2.3] which in turn refers to [34, §4.1]; the modifications required to pass from GL_2 to Mp_2 amount in classical terms to replacing the role of the identity $\lambda(p)^2 = \lambda(p^2) + 1$ satisfied by the Hecke eigenvalues of modular forms of integral weight with that of the identity $\lambda(p^2)^2 = \lambda(p^4) + \lambda(p^2) + 1$ satisfied by those of half-integral weight.

4.7. Application of the amplification method. To finish the proof of (4.5), we argue as in [22, §5.2]: Let $\eta > 0$ be fixed and small enough in terms of the fixed quantities δ_1 and B arising in (4.13). Let ν be the amplifier constructed in §4.6 in terms of the parameter η. By the first two properties of the amplifier, our choice of η, and the inequality $\vartheta' - 3/4 < -1/2$, we have

$$\int_u (\|\operatorname{Ad}(u)\|^{\vartheta'-3/4} + \|u\|^B Q^{-\delta_1}) d|\nu|^{(2)}(u) \ll Q^{-\delta} \tag{4.14}$$

for some fixed $\delta > 0$. By the third property of the amplifier, we have $\varphi_3 = c\varphi_3 * \nu$ for some eigenvalue $c \gg Q^{-o(1)}$. The proof of (4.5) thereby reduces to the proof of an analogous bound for $\langle \varphi_1\varphi_2, \varphi_3 * \nu \rangle$, or equivalently, for $\langle (\varphi_1\varphi_2) * \nu^*, \varphi_3 \rangle$. By (4.12) and Cauchy–Schwarz, we reduce to bounding $\|(\varphi_1\varphi_2) * \nu^*\|^2 = \langle \varphi_1\varphi_2, (\varphi_1\varphi_2) * \nu^{(2)} \rangle$ where $\nu^{(2)} := \nu^* * \nu$. Expanding the definition of $(\varphi_1\varphi_2) * \nu^{(2)}$, we reduce to showing that the SL_2-periods $\mathcal{I}_u$ of §4.5 satisfy $\int_u \mathcal{I}_u d\nu^{(2)}(u) \ll Q^{-\delta}$ for some fixed $\delta > 0$. The latter estimate holds by (4.13), (4.14) and the triangle inequality.

References

[1] Joseph Bernstein and Andre Reznikov. Subconvexity bounds for triple L-functions and representation theory. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(3):1679–1718, 2010.

[2] Valentin Blomer and Gergely Harcos. Twisted L-functions over number fields and Hilbert's eleventh problem. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 20(1):1–52, 2010.

[3] W. Duke, J. B. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec. The subconvexity problem for Artin L-functions. *Invent. Math.*, 149(3):489–577, 2002.

[4] Manfred Einsiedler, Elon Lindenstrauss, Philippe Michel, and Akshay Venkatesh. Distribution of periodic torus orbits and Duke's theorem for cubic fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 173(2):815–885, 2011.
[5] Wee Teck Gan. The shimura correspondence a la waldspurger. http://www.math.nus.edu.sg/~matgwt/postech.pdf, 2011.
[6] Stephen Gelbart and Hervé Jacquet. A relation between automorphic representations of GL(2) and GL(3). *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 11(4):471–542, 1978.
[7] Amit Ghosh, Andre Reznikov, and Peter Sarnak. Nodal domains of Maass forms I. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 23(5):1515–1568, 2013.
[8] Gergely Harcos and Philippe Michel. The subconvexity problem for Rankin-Selberg L-functions and equidistribution of Heegner points. II. *Invent. Math.*, 163(3):581–655, 2006.
[9] Michael Harris and Stephen S. Kudla. The central critical value of a triple product L-function. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 133(3):605–672, 1991.
[10] Jeffrey Hoffstein and Dinakar Ramakrishnan. Siegel zeros and cusp forms. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (6):279–308, 1995.
[11] Roman Holowinsky. Sieving for mass equidistribution. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(2):1499–1516, 2010.
[12] Roman Holowinsky and Kannan Soundararajan. Mass equidistribution for Hecke eigenforms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(2):1517–1528, 2010.
[13] Y. Hu. Triple product formula and mass equidistribution on modular curves of level N. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2014.
[14] Atsushi Ichino. Trilinear forms and the central values of triple product L-functions. *Duke Math. J.*, 145(2):281–307, 2008.
[15] H. Iwaniec and P. Michel. The second moment of the symmetric square L-functions. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 26(2):465–482, 2001.
[16] Henryk Iwaniec and Peter Sarnak. Perspectives on the analytic theory of L-functions. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, (Special Volume, Part II):705–741, 2000. GAFA 2000 (Tel Aviv, 1999).
[17] Junehyuk Jung. Quantitative quantum ergodicity and the nodal domains of Hecke-Maass cusp forms. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 348(2):603–653, 2016.
[18] Elon Lindenstrauss. Invariant measures and arithmetic quantum unique ergodicity. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 163(1):165–219, 2006.
[19] Wenzhi Luo and Peter Sarnak. Mass equidistribution for Hecke eigenforms. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 56(7):874–891, 2003. Dedicated to the memory of Jürgen K. Moser.
[20] P. Michel. The subconvexity problem for Rankin-Selberg L-functions and equidistribution of Heegner points. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 160(1):185–236, 2004.
[21] Philippe Michel. Analytic number theory and families of automorphic L-functions. In *Automorphic forms and applications*, volume 12 of *IAS/Park City Math. Ser.*, pages 181–295. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
[22] Philippe Michel and Akshay Venkatesh. The subconvexity problem for GL_2. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (111):171–271, 2010.
[23] Ritabrata Munshi. under preparation, 2015.
[24] Paul D. Nelson. Equidistribution of cusp forms in the level aspect. *Duke Math. J.*, 160(3):467–501, 2011.
[25] Paul D. Nelson. Evaluating modular forms on Shimura curves. *Math. Comp.*, 84(295):2471–2503, 2015.
[26] Paul D. Nelson. The spectral decomposition of $|\theta|^2$. preprint, 2016.
[27] Paul D. Nelson, Ameya Pitale, and Abhishek Saha. Bounds for Rankin–Selberg integrals and quantum unique ergodicity for powerful levels. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 27(1):147–191, 2014.
[28] Y. Qiu. The Whittaker period formula on Metaplectic SL(2). *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2013.
[29] Yannan Qiu. Periods of Saito-Kurokawa representations. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (24):6698–6755, 2014.
[30] Peter Sarnak. Estimates for Rankin-Selberg L-functions and quantum unique ergodicity. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 184(2):419–453, 2001.
[31] Peter Sarnak. Recent progress on the quantum unique ergodicity conjecture. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 48(2):211–228, 2011.
[32] Ralf Schmidt. Some remarks on local newforms for GL(2). *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 17(2):115–147, 2002.

[33] Kannan Soundararajan. Quantum unique ergodicity for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(2):1529–1538, 2010.
[34] Akshay Venkatesh. Sparse equidistribution problems, period bounds and subconvexity. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(2):989–1094, 2010.
[35] Jean-Loup Waldspurger. Correspondances de Shimura et quaternions. *Forum Math.*, 3(3):219–307, 1991.
[36] Thomas C. Watson. Rankin triple products and quantum chaos. arXiv.org:0810.0425, 2008.

ETH Zürich, Department of Mathematics, Rämistrasse 101, CH-8092, Zürich, Switzerland
E-mail address: paul.nelson@math.ethz.ch